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## Important Observations:
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## Critera for Constructing Graph:

1. How many connected graphs should we use?
2. If we decide to use $k$ graphs, which $k$ should we use?
3. How should we relabel the vertices once the graph is constructed?

Note: We may need to relabel the vertices so as to produce a standard labeling. By this we mean that for a graph of $n$ vertices, the label set is [ $n$ ].
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## Graphs of Three Vertices

Let $k$ denote the number of connected graphs we wish to use in constructing our graph. We will count the number of labeled graphs with three vertices by constructing them according to $k$.

- $k=1$ : There are four such graphs (as we found prior).
- $k=2$ : There are $\binom{3}{2}=3$ of them.
- $\underline{k=3}$ : There is only one such graph (three disconnected vertices).
$\Rightarrow$ There are 8 vertex labeled undirected graphs of three vertices.


## Driving Questions

- Question: How do the numbers of connected graphs (1, 1, 4 in our previous example) relate to the number of all graphs (8).


## Driving Questions

- Question: How do the numbers of connected graphs (1, 1, 4 in our previous example) relate to the number of all graphs (8).
- Question: Given a mathematical structure which is constructed out of connected pieces, how can we relate the numbers of connected pieces to the number of structures? This is the main question we will be interested in answering.


## Driving Questions

- Question: How do the numbers of connected graphs (1, 1, 4 in our previous example) relate to the number of all graphs (8).
- Question: Given a mathematical structure which is constructed out of connected pieces, how can we relate the numbers of connected pieces to the number of structures? This is the main question we will be interested in answering.
- This is precisely the question that the exponential formula will answer for us.
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- If $h(n)=\sum_{k} h(n, k)$ is the number of hands of weight $n$, we write $\mathcal{H}(x)$ for the EGF of $\{h(n)\}$, instead of $\mathcal{H}(x, 1)$. Thus, our question asks for some relationship between $\mathcal{H}(x, y)$ and $\mathcal{D}(x)$.
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## Example Revisited

Let $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ be the family of all vertex labeled undirected graphs.
Definition: A graph is connected if, given any pair of vertices $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$, we can walk from $v_{1}$ to $v_{2}$ along the edges.
Cards: In this family, a card $(S, p)$ corresponds to a connected labeled graph G. S is the set of vertex labels and $p$ is the 'standard relabeling of G' (replace $n$ vertex labels with $[n$ ] in an order preserving way).
Hands: Recall that a hand is a collection of cards whose label sets partition [ $n$ ], where $n$ is the weight of the hand. For $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ this means a hand $\mathcal{H}$ corresponds to a not necessarily connected graph with standard labels.

Decks: $\mathcal{D}_{n}$ is the set of all connected standard labeled graphs of $n$ vertices and $h(n, k)$ is the number of standard labeled graphs with $n$ vertices and $k$ connected components.

Decks: $\mathcal{D}_{n}$ is the set of all connected standard labeled graphs of $n$ vertices and $h(n, k)$ is the number of standard labeled graphs with $n$ vertices and $k$ connected components.

- Hence, our main question of interest asks for the relationship between the numbers of all labeled graphs and all connected labeled graphs of all sizes.
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## Permutations

Let $\mathcal{F}_{2}$ be the set of all permutations.
Cards: A card in $\mathcal{F}_{2}$ is $(S, p)$ where $S$ is a set of positive integers and $p$ is a picture with $n$ points arranged in a circle with arrowheads, the points being labeled with $[n]$.

$$
\Rightarrow d_{n}=(n-1)!
$$

Hands: Hands in $\mathcal{F}_{2}$ correspond to permutations (not necessarily cyclic). The cycles of the permutation are those on the cards in the hand after being relabeled in and order preserving way.

- In $\mathcal{F}_{2}$ our question asks for a relationship between numbers of all permutations and numbers of cyclic permutations.

Note: $h(n, k)$ here is an object we have studied before. Namely, $h(n, k)=s(n, k)$, a stirling number of the first kind. The exponential formula can aid us in studying these objects.
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## Merging Two Exponential Familes

Let $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}$ be two exponential families whose picture sets, $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{P}^{\prime \prime}$ are disjoint. We form a third family, $\mathcal{F}$, and write $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}^{\prime} \oplus \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}$ as follows:

Fix $n \geq 1$. From $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ we take all of the $d_{n}^{\prime}$ cards of deck $\mathcal{D}_{n}^{\prime}$ and put them in a new pile. Then from $\mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}$ we take all $d_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ of its cards from deck $\mathcal{D}_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ and add them to the pile which now contains $d_{n}^{\prime}+d_{n}^{\prime \prime}=d_{n}$ different cards.

## The Fundamental Lemma of Labeled Counting

Let $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}$ be two exponential familes and $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}^{\prime} \oplus \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}$ be their merger. Further, let $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}(x, y), \mathcal{H}^{\prime \prime}(x, y)$, and $\mathcal{H}(x, y)$ be their respective two-variable hand enumerators of these families. Then

$$
\mathcal{H}(x, y)=\mathcal{H}^{\prime}(x, y) \mathcal{H}^{\prime \prime}(x, y)
$$

## Proof

Consider hand $H$ in $\mathcal{F}$. Some of the cards in $H$ came from $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$, and others came from $\mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}$. The collection that came from $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ forms a sub-hand, call it $H^{\prime}$ of weight $n^{\prime}$ and having $k^{\prime}$ cards that have been relabled in an order preserving way with a certain label set $S \subset[n]$. All hands $H$ in the merged family $\mathcal{F}$ are uniquely determined by $H^{\prime}$, the choice of new labels $S$, and the renaming sub-hand $H^{\prime \prime}$ with the labels of $[n]-S$. Therefore, the number of hands in the merged family $\mathcal{F}$ that have weight $n$ and have exactly $k$ cards is

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(n, k) & =\sum_{n^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\binom{n}{n^{\prime}} h^{\prime}\left(n^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right) h^{\prime \prime}\left(n-n^{\prime}, k-k^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\left[\frac{x^{n}}{n!} y^{k}\right] \mathcal{H}^{\prime}(x, y) \mathcal{H}^{\prime \prime}(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an exponential family whose deck and hand enumerators are $\mathcal{D}(x)$ and $\mathcal{H}(x, y)$, respectively. Then

$$
\mathcal{H}(x, y)=e^{y \mathcal{D}(x)}
$$

In detail, the number of hands of weight $n$ and $k$ cards is

$$
h(n, k)=\left[\frac{x^{n}}{n!}\right]\left(\frac{\mathcal{D}(x)^{k}}{k!}\right)
$$

## The Exponential Formula

First, we will compute the number of hands of weight $n$ with $k$ cards:

## The Exponential Formula

First, we will compute the number of hands of weight $n$ with $k$ cards:

$$
n!
$$

## The Exponential Formula

First, we will compute the number of hands of weight $n$ with $k$ cards:


## The Exponential Formula

First, we will compute the number of hands of weight $n$ with $k$ cards:

$$
n!
$$

$$
\overline{p_{1}!p_{2}!}
$$

## The Exponential Formula

First, we will compute the number of hands of weight $n$ with $k$ cards:

$$
n!
$$

$$
\overline{p_{1}!p_{2}!\cdots}
$$

## The Exponential Formula

First, we will compute the number of hands of weight $n$ with $k$ cards:

$$
\overline{p_{1}!p_{2}!\cdots p_{n}!}
$$

## The Exponential Formula

First, we will compute the number of hands of weight $n$ with $k$ cards:

$$
\frac{n!}{p_{1}!p_{2}!\cdots p_{n}!(1!)^{p_{1}}}
$$

## The Exponential Formula

First, we will compute the number of hands of weight $n$ with $k$ cards:

$$
\frac{n!}{p_{1}!p_{2}!\cdots p_{n}!(1!)^{p_{1}}(2!)^{p_{2}}}
$$

## The Exponential Formula

First, we will compute the number of hands of weight $n$ with $k$ cards:

$$
\frac{n!}{p_{1}!p_{2}!\cdots p_{n}!(1!)^{p_{1}}(2!)^{p_{2}} \cdots}
$$

## The Exponential Formula

First, we will compute the number of hands of weight $n$ with $k$ cards:

$$
\frac{n!}{p_{1}!p_{2}!\cdots p_{n}!(1!)^{p_{1}}(2!)^{p_{2}} \cdots(n!)^{p_{n}}}
$$

## The Exponential Formula

First, we will compute the number of hands of weight $n$ with $k$ cards:

$$
\frac{n!}{p_{1}!p_{2}!\cdots p_{n}!(1!)^{p_{1}}(2!)^{p_{2}} \cdots(n!)^{p_{n}}} d_{1}^{p_{1}}
$$

## The Exponential Formula

First, we will compute the number of hands of weight $n$ with $k$ cards:

$$
\frac{n!}{p_{1}!p_{2}!\cdots p_{n}!(1!)^{p_{1}}(2!)^{p_{2}} \cdots(n!)^{p_{n}}} d_{1}^{p_{1}} d_{2}^{p_{2}}
$$

## The Exponential Formula

First, we will compute the number of hands of weight $n$ with $k$ cards:

$$
\frac{n!}{p_{1}!p_{2}!\cdots p_{n}!(1!)^{p_{1}}(2!)^{p_{2}} \cdots(n!)^{p_{n}}} d_{1}^{p_{1}} d_{2}^{p_{2}} \cdots
$$

## The Exponential Formula

First, we will compute the number of hands of weight $n$ with $k$ cards:

$$
\frac{n!}{p_{1}!p_{2}!\cdots p_{n}!(1!)^{p_{1}}(2!)^{p_{2}} \cdots(n!)^{p_{n}}} d_{1}^{p_{1}} d_{2}^{p_{2}} \cdots d_{n}^{p_{n}}
$$

## The Exponential Formula

$$
\sum_{\substack{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n} \geq 0 \\ p_{1}+2 p_{2}+\cdots+n p_{n}=n \\ p_{1}+\cdots+p_{n}=k}} \frac{n!}{p_{1}!p_{2}!\cdots p_{n}!(1!)^{p_{1}}(2!)^{p_{2}} \cdots(n!)^{p_{n}}} d_{1}^{p_{1}} d_{2}^{p_{2}} \cdots d_{n}^{p_{n}}
$$

## The Exponential Formula

$$
\sum_{\substack{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n} \geq 0 \\ p_{1}+2 p_{2}+\cdots+n p_{n}=n \\ p_{1}+\cdots+p_{n}=k}} \frac{n!}{p_{1}!p_{2}!\cdots p_{n}!(1!)^{p_{1}}(2!)^{p_{2}} \cdots(n!)^{p_{n}}} d_{1}^{p_{1}} d_{2}^{p_{2}} \cdots d_{n}^{p_{n}}
$$

$$
=n!\sum_{\substack{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n} \geq 0 \\ p_{1}+2 p_{2}+\cdots+n p_{n}=n \\ p_{1}+\cdots+p_{n}=k}} \frac{1}{p_{1}!p_{2}!\cdots p_{n}!}\left(\frac{d_{1}}{1!}\right)^{p_{1}}\left(\frac{d_{2}}{2!}\right)^{p_{2}} \cdots\left(\frac{d_{n}}{n!}\right)^{p_{n}}
$$

## The Exponential Formula

$$
=\left.\frac{n!}{k!} \sum_{\substack{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n} \geq 0 \\ p_{1}+\cdots+p_{n}=k}} \frac{k!}{p_{1}!p_{2}!\cdots p_{n}!}\left(\frac{d_{1} x}{1!}\right)^{p_{1}}\left(\frac{d_{2} x^{2}}{2!}\right)^{p_{2}} \cdots\left(\frac{d_{n} x^{n}}{n!}\right)^{p_{n}}\right|_{x^{n}}
$$

## The Exponential Formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left.\frac{n!}{k!} \sum_{\substack{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n} \geq 0 \\
p_{1}+\cdots+p_{n}=k}} \frac{k!}{p_{1}!p_{2}!\cdots p_{n}!}\left(\frac{d_{1} x}{1!}\right)^{p_{1}}\left(\frac{d_{2} x^{2}}{2!}\right)^{p_{2}} \cdots\left(\frac{d_{n} x^{n}}{n!}\right)^{p_{n}}\right|_{x^{n}} \\
& =\left.\frac{n!}{k!}\left(\frac{d_{1} x}{1!}+\frac{d_{2} x^{2}}{2!}+\cdots+\frac{d_{n} x^{n}}{n!} \cdots\right)^{k}\right|_{x^{n}} \text { multinomial theorem }
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Exponential Formula

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{n} h(n, k) y^{k}
$$

## The Exponential Formula

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{n} h(n, k) y^{k}=\left.\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{n!}{k!}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{d_{m} x^{m}}{m!}\right)^{k}\right|_{x^{n}} y^{k}
$$

## The Exponential Formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{n} h(n, k) y^{k} & =\left.\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{n!}{k!}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{d_{m} x^{m}}{m!}\right)^{k}\right|_{x^{n}} y^{k} \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^{n}\left(\left.\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{y^{k}}{k!}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{d_{m} x^{m}}{m!}\right)^{k}\right|_{x^{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Exponential Formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{n} h(n, k) y^{k} & =\left.\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{n!}{k!}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{d_{m} x^{m}}{m!}\right)^{k}\right|_{x^{n}} y^{k} \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^{n}\left(\left.\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{y^{k}}{k!}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{d_{m} x^{m}}{m!}\right)^{k}\right|_{x^{n}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{k}}{k!} \mathcal{D}(x)^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Exponential Formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{n} h(n, k) y^{k} & =\left.\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{n!}{k!}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{d_{m} x^{m}}{m!}\right)^{k}\right|_{x^{n}} y^{k} \\
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& =e^{y \mathcal{D}(x)}=\mathcal{H}(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Corollary 1

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an exponential family, let $\mathcal{D}(x)$ be the egf of the sequence $\left\{d_{n}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ of sizes of the decks, and let $\mathcal{H}(x)$ be the egf of the sequence $\left\{h_{n}\right\}_{0}^{\infty}$, where $h_{n}$ is the number of hands of weight $n$. Then

$$
\mathcal{H}(x)=e^{\mathcal{D}(x)}
$$

## Corollary 2

Let $T$ be a set of positive integers, let $e_{T}(x)=\sum_{n \in T} x^{n} / n!$, and let $h_{n}(T)$ be the number of hands whose weight is $n$ and whose number of cards belongs to the allowable set $T$. Then

$$
e_{T}(\mathcal{D}(x)) \text { is the exponential generating function for }\left\{h_{n}(T)\right\}_{0}^{\infty}
$$

## Example 1: Permutations and Cycles

Question: How many permutations of $n$ letters and $k$ cycles are there?
Cards: A card of weight $n$ will have [ $n$ ] arranged in a cycle in some order, with a set $\mathcal{S}$ of $n$ positive integers on the card. Picture of a Card: The picture is simply a relabeling of a cycle of the elements in $\mathcal{S}$ using [ $n$ ]
Decks: $\mathcal{D}_{n}$ consists of exactly one of each card of weight $n$.

- Thus, $d_{n}=(n-1)$ ! cards in each deck $\mathcal{D}_{n}$

Hands: A collection of cards such that their label sets are pairwise disjoint and their union is [ $n$ ]

- i.e. a hand is a representation of a permutation of $[n]$ with disjoint cycles given by the cards.


## Finding the EGF and Applying the Exponential Formula

 We know $d_{n}=(n-1)$ ! so,$$
\mathcal{D}(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n-1)!\frac{x^{n}}{n!}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n}
$$

## Finding the EGF and Applying the Exponential Formula

 We know $d_{n}=(n-1)$ ! so,$$
\mathcal{D}(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n-1)!\frac{x^{n}}{n!}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n}
$$

Which is the Maclaurin Series for

$$
\ln \left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right)
$$

## Finding the EGF and Applying the Exponential Formula

 We know $d_{n}=(n-1)$ ! so,$$
\mathcal{D}(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n-1)!\frac{x^{n}}{n!}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n}
$$

Which is the Maclaurin Series for

$$
\ln \left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right)
$$

Since we found $\mathcal{D}(x)=\ln \left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right)$ we can now apply the exponential formula,

$$
\mathcal{H}(x, y)=e^{y \mathcal{D}(x)}=\frac{1}{(1-x)^{y}}
$$

## Stirling Numbers of the First Kind

Since $\mathcal{H}(x, y)$ is the generating function for each $h(n, k)$, summing over all $k$ yields the Stirling numbers of the first kind

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} s(n, k) y^{k}=\left[\frac{x^{n}}{n!}\right](1-x)^{-y}
$$

## Stirling Numbers of the First Kind

Since $\mathcal{H}(x, y)$ is the generating function for each $h(n, k)$, summing over all $k$ yields the Stirling numbers of the first kind

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} s(n, k) y^{k}=\left[\frac{x^{n}}{n!}\right](1-x)^{-y}
$$

Calculating the coeffictient of $\frac{x^{n}}{n!}$ yields

$$
n!\binom{y+n-1}{n}=y(y+1)(y+2) \cdots(y+n-1)
$$

## A Full Generating Function

By the Exponential Formula we know the enumerator of hands of $k$ cards is,

$$
\left(\frac{\mathcal{D}(x)^{k}}{k!}\right)=\frac{1}{k!}\left(\ln \left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right)\right)^{k}
$$

## A Full Generating Function

By the Exponential Formula we know the enumerator of hands of $k$ cards is,

$$
\left(\frac{\mathcal{D}(x)^{k}}{k!}\right)=\frac{1}{k!}\left(\ln \left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right)\right)^{k}
$$

Hence the Generating function for Stirling numbers of the first kind is

$$
s(n, k)=\left[\frac{x^{n}}{n!}\right]\left(\frac{\left(\ln \frac{1}{1-x}\right)^{k}}{k!}\right)
$$

## Set Partitions

Question: How many partitions of $n$ elements into $k$ subsets are there?
Card: For each $n \geq 1$ there is only one card of weight $n$ with the label set [ $n$ ].

- Thus each deck has exaclty 1 card

Hand: There is hand corresponding to every partition of [ $n$ ]

## Generating Function for Stirling Numbers of the Second Kind

We start by finding the deck enumerator,

$$
\mathcal{D}(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n!}=e^{x}-1
$$

## Generating Function for Stirling Numbers of the Second Kind

We start by finding the deck enumerator,

$$
\mathcal{D}(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n!}=e^{x}-1
$$

Then by the Exponential Formula

$$
\mathcal{H}(x, y)=e^{y\left(e^{x}-1\right)}
$$

## Generating Function for Stirling Numbers of the Second Kind

We start by finding the deck enumerator,

$$
\mathcal{D}(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n!}=e^{x}-1
$$

Then by the Exponential Formula

$$
\mathcal{H}(x, y)=e^{y\left(e^{x}-1\right)}
$$

and more specifically

$$
h(n, k)=S(n, k)=\left[\frac{x^{n}}{n!}\right]\left(\frac{\left(e^{x}-1\right)^{k}}{k!}\right)
$$

## Bell Numbers

Recall the Bell numbers were all of the partitions of $n$ with $k$ many subsets, so by summing over all $k$ (i.e. row sums)

$$
e^{e^{x}-1}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(e^{x}-1\right)^{k}}{k!}
$$

## Subclasses of Permutations

Question: How many permutations of $n$ letters have an even number of cycles and all of the cycles are of odd length?

- We will use the same exponential family as in the example with Stirling numbers of the first kind, however we will only use decks of odd weight.


## Generating Functions

Similarly we start by finding the deck enumerator,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}(x) & =\sum_{n \text { odd }}(n-1)!\frac{x^{n}}{n!} \\
& =\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2 r+1}}{2 r+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Generating Functions

Similarly we start by finding the deck enumerator,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}(x) & =\sum_{n \text { odd }}(n-1)!\frac{x^{n}}{n!} \\
& =\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2 r+1}}{2 r+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Which is the Maclaurin series for

$$
\frac{1}{2}(\ln (1+x)-\ln (1-x))=\ln \left(\sqrt{\frac{1+x}{1-x}}\right)
$$

## Generating Functions

Since the number of cycles must be even, the allowable number of cards are contained in the set $T$ is the set of even numbers.

## Generating Functions

Since the number of cycles must be even, the allowable number of cards are contained in the set $T$ is the set of even numbers. By corollary,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{T}(x)=\sum_{n \in T} \frac{x^{n}}{n!} \\
& e_{T}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2 i}}{(2 i)!}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Generating Functions

Since the number of cycles must be even, the allowable number of cards are contained in the set $T$ is the set of even numbers. By corollary,

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{T}(x) & =\sum_{n \in T} \frac{x^{n}}{n!} \\
e_{T}(x) & =\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2 i}}{(2 i)!} \\
& =\cosh (x)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Generating Fucntions

Recall $\cosh (x)=\frac{e^{x}+e^{-x}}{2}$,

## Generating Fucntions

Recall $\cosh (x)=\frac{e^{x}+e^{-x}}{2}$,

$$
\cosh \left(\ln \left(\sqrt{\frac{1+x}{1-x}}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}
$$

## Generating Fucntions

Recall $\cosh (x)=\frac{e^{x}+e^{-x}}{2}$,

$$
\cosh \left(\ln \left(\sqrt{\frac{1+x}{1-x}}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}
$$

By Newton's Binomial theorem we can write $\binom{n}{k}$ any $n \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\frac{n(n-1)(n-2) \cdots(n-k+1)}{k!}
$$

## Using Newton's Binomial Theorem

For $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}$ we have $n=-\frac{1}{2}$ so by using the Binomial Theorem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}} & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right) \cdots\left(-\frac{\frac{1}{2}-k+1}{2}\right) \frac{x^{2 k}}{k!} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\binom{2 k}{k}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 k}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Using Newton's Binomial Theorem

For $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}$ we have $n=-\frac{1}{2}$ so by using the Binomial Theorem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}} & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right) \cdots\left(-\frac{\frac{1}{2}-k+1}{2}\right) \frac{x^{2 k}}{k!} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\binom{2 k}{k}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we obtain

$$
\binom{n}{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{n!}{2^{n}}
$$

as the number of permutations of $n$ letters with an even number of cycles where each cycle is of odd length.

## A Side Note

We can now find the number of permuations of $n$ letters with an odd number of cycles where each cycle is of odd length very easily.

## A Side Note

We can now find the number of permuations of $n$ letters with an odd number of cycles where each cycle is of odd length very easily.

- Simply, instead of using $\cosh (x)$ we use $\sinh (x)$ and continue the same process


## 2-regular Graphs

Question: How many undirected graphs are there on $n$ vertices where every graph is of degree 2 (i.e. every vertex is connected to 2 other vertices)?

- The graph will be a union of undirected disjoint cycles

We will use the exponental family $\mathcal{F}_{1}$

## 2-regular Graphs

- Such a graph only exists if there are at least 3 vertices
- We know that there are $(n-1)$ ! directed cycles, thus there are $\frac{(n-1)!}{2}$ undirected cycles, and hence $d_{n}=\frac{(n-1)!}{2}$.


## 2-regular Graphs

- Such a graph only exists if there are at least 3 vertices
- We know that there are $(n-1)$ ! directed cycles, thus there are $\frac{(n-1)!}{2}$ undirected cycles, and hence $d_{n}=\frac{(n-1)!}{2}$.
Again start by finding the deck enumerator

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}(x) & =\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{(n-1)!}{2 n!} x^{n} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\ln \frac{1}{1-x}-x-\frac{x^{2}}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## By the Exponential Formula,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}(x, y) & =\exp \left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\ln \frac{1}{1-x}-x-\frac{x^{2}}{2}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{e^{-\frac{x}{2}-\frac{x^{2}}{4}}}{\sqrt{1-x}}
\end{aligned}
$$

