**Evaluation Criteria:**

| Criteria | Excellent | Good | Acceptable |
|---|---|---|---|
| Organization | Presentation is part of one theme, with a logical narrative | Presentation coheres into one narrative | Presentation contains an eccentric collection of disparate parts |
| Language | Language choices are thoughtful and richly descriptive | Language choices fully describe findings | Language choices are adequate to describe findings |
| Delivery (posture, gesture, eye contact, vocal expressiveness) | Delivery makes the presentation compelling, and the speaker appears polished and confident | Delivery makes the presentation interesting, and speaker appears comfortable | Delivery makes the presentation understandable, though with uncomfortable time gaps or rushed moments |
| Supporting material (images, examples, explanations, illustrations, images, analogies, evidence, quotations) | A variety of supporting materials convincingly establishes the presenter's credibility/authority on the topic, and images are at appropriate scale | Supporting materials make appropriate reference to the topic and generally establishes the presenter's credibility/authority on the topic | Supporting materials partially establish the presenter's credibility/authority on the topic |
| Central message | Central message is compelling (precisely stated, appropriately repeated, memorable, and strongly supported) | Central message is clear and consistent with the supporting material | Central message is basically understandable but may be fragmented |