Molecular and dissociative adsorption of DMMP, Sarin and Soman on dry and wet TiO$_2$(110) using density functional theory
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**ABSTRACT**

Titania, among the metal oxides, has shown promising characteristics for the adsorption and decontamination of chemical warfare nerve agents, due to its high stability and rapid decomposition rates. In this study, the adsorption energy and geometry of the nerve agents Sarin and Soman, and their simulant dimethyl methyl phosphonate (DMMP) on TiO$_2$, rutile (110) surface were calculated using density functional theory. The molecular and dissociative adsorption of the agents and simulant on dry as well as wet metal oxide surfaces were considered. For the wet system, computations were done for the cases of both molecularly adsorbed water (hydrated conformation) and dissociatively adsorbed water (hydroxylated conformation). DFT calculations show that dissociative adsorption of the agents and simulant is preferred over molecular adsorption for both dry and wet TiO$_2$. The dissociative adsorption on hydrated TiO$_2$ shows higher stability among the different configurations considered. The dissociative structure of DMMP on hydrated TiO$_2$ (the most stable one) was identified as the dissociation of a methyl group and its adsorption on the TiO$_2$ surface. For the nerve agents Sarin and Soman on hydrated TiO$_2$ the dissociative structure was by the dissociation of the F atom from the molecule and its interaction with a Ti atom from the surface, which could indicate a reduction in the toxicity of the products. This study shows the relevance of water adsorption on the metal oxide surface for the stability and dissociation of the simulant DMMP and the nerve agents Sarin and Soman on TiO$_2$.

1. Introduction

Chemical warfare agents (CWA) are highly toxic molecules that represent a lethal risk for the military and civilian personnel in zones of armed conflict and war as well as in the event of acts of terrorism [1–4]. Among the most lethal CWA are the organophosphate (OP) nerve agents whose lethality comes from the compound’s ability to bind to the enzyme acetylcholinesterase (AChE) in the nervous system [1]. The nerve agents phosphorylate the active site of serine residue in the enzyme, rendering it inactive, thereby preventing the enzymatic breakdown of the neurotransmitter acetylcholine. The accumulation of acetylcholine causes continuous nerve impulses and muscle contractions and the victim can suffer from convulsions, brain seizures, respiratory failure and eventually death. Two decades after the Chemical Weapons Convention that comprehensively prohibited the development, production, stockpiling, transfer and use of chemical weapons, the nerve agents continue to be used in war zones as seen in the ongoing Syrian conflict, causing civilian and military fatalities [5]. Many countries also have stockpiles of nerve agents which have not yet been destroyed, posing potential threat of their use. In order to protect the Soldier and the civilian population, to decontaminate battlefield equipment and infrastructure and to ensure remediation of environments exposed to these chemical agents, the exploration and development of materials with propensity to efficiently adsorb and degrade nerve agents is imperative.

Experimental studies in the literature on surface adsorption and decomposition using nerve agents are limited due to their high toxicity. Instead, molecules with similar chemical and physical properties to the CWA but with less toxicity, selected as simulants, have been used as substitutes to understand the properties of the nerve agents when adsorbed on surfaces [2]. Theoretical methods, such as density functional theory (DFT) represent an important tool to investigate the atomic properties of the nerve agents as well as simulants and their interaction with surfaces, allowing a better understanding of the atomic and surface properties of the agent-surface system and also the validity of agent-simulant correlations.

Various detection and decontamination methods for nerve agents have been explored, with metal oxides surfaces showing promising results due to their selectivity in the sensing and decontamination process and the large number of active sites being available [1–4].
Metal oxide surfaces including MgO, CaO, Al2O3, La2O3, Fe2O3, MoO3, CuO, Zr(OH)4, CeO2, SiO2, SnO2, ZnO and TiO2 have been explored for the adsorption of nerve agents and simulants on surfaces using both experimental and theoretical methods [1–4,6–40]. Among the theoretical methods, DFT has been widely used to obtain valuable information on the interaction between the nerve agent and the metal oxide surfaces as well as on the stability and structure of the agent [6–13]. In spite of the numerous metal oxide materials explored, their instability represents an important limitation for practical applications. TiO2 among the metal oxides, has shown promising characteristics for the adsorption and deactivation of nerve agents, due to its high stability and rapid decomposition rates [1]. Previous studies on the adsorption of Sarin on TiO2 found the catalytic decomposition of the nerve agent on the hydrated TiO2 to be a plausible method for the deactivation of Sarin [1,2,20,41]. However, a systematic theoretical and comparative study of the adsorption and decomposition process of nerve agents and simulants on a metal oxide, such as TiO2, as well as their stability and dissociative structure has not been reported, to the best of our knowledge. In addition, the effect of environmental conditions, especially the hydration of the surface, on the stability and dissociative structures of the nerve agents remains unclear. The examination of these factors will significantly contribute to our understanding of the nerve agents’ deactivation process. Also a study of the similarities between nerve agents and their simulants will help verify agent-simulant correlations and determine the validity of extrapolation of experimental results on simulants to the actual nerve agents.

In this work, we have studied the adsorption of the nerve agents Sarin (isopropyl methylphosphonofluoridate) and Soman (pinacolyl methylphosphonofluoridate) and the simulant DMMP (dimethyl methylphosphonate) on a dry and wet TiO2(110) slab surface using DFT. We calculated the adsorption energy and geometry of the molecular and dissociated agents on TiO2(110). The effect of H2O adsorption on the surface was also considered by the comparison of dry and wet TiO2 surface properties. For the wet system, computations were done for the cases of both molecularly adsorbed water (hydrated configuration) and dissociatively adsorbed water (hydroxylated configuration). We found that the dissociative adsorption of the agents is preferred over the molecular one for both dry and wet systems. The dissociative structure for DMMP on TiO2(110) showed a significant variation from those for the nerve agents Sarin and Soman. In the case of the wet systems, the hydration and hydroxylation of the TiO2(110) surface were considered with the dissociative adsorption of the agents on the hydrated TiO2 surface being more stable than for the hydroxylated one. Lastly, the final structures of the agents on TiO2(110) were also obtained and described for the different systems considered here.

2. Computational methods

The DFT calculations were carried out with the Quantum Espresso software [42], with the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) generalized gradient approximation (GGA) [43] and the projected-augmented wave (PAW) [44] approach. Van der Waals interactions were taken into account using the DFT-D2 method of Grimme [45]. GGA-PBE has shown to have good accuracy in the description of adsorption energetic and water bonds [46]. In addition, accounting for van der Waals forces has shown to increase the adsorption strength of molecules with the surface. The cutoff kinetic energy for the wave functions and the charge density were 30 Ry (1 Ry = 1312.75 kJ/mol) and 350 Ry, respectively. These parameters were selected following the pseudopotential suggestions for the different atom types found in each system studied.

2.1. TiO2 parameters

The TiO2 bulk lattice parameters were calculated using a k-mesh of $8 \times 8 \times 10$ k-points. The final a and c lattice parameter values were calculated to be 4.6408 Å and 2.9683 Å, respectively, showing good agreement with previous theoretical and experimental studies [7,47]. The TiO2(110) rutile slab structure (the most thermodynamically stable phase of TiO2) was constructed with a surface area of $(2 \times 4)$ corresponding to $13.126 \times 11.873$ Å. Five TiO2 layers and a total of 80 TiO2 groups or 240 atoms and a vacuum region of 12 Å was adopted (Fig. 1(a)). The two bottom layers were fixed at their bulk position and the three top layers were allowed to relax.

2.2. Initial configuration of molecules on TiO2 surface

The initial structures for the agents, DMMP (C9H19O3P), Sarin (C5H12F2O2P) and Soman (C6H16F2O2P) were built based on the geometries reported in the literature [48–50]. Different conformers for DMMP, Sarin and Soman were considered to determine the most stable configuration. The different molecules were relaxed using the free molecule until reaching the most stable geometry. The final structures for DMMP, Sarin and Soman are observed in Fig. 1(b), (c) and (d), respectively. The agent-TiO2 systems were built with 1 molecule per 8 Ti atoms 5 fold coordinated (Ti5fc), with a surface area of $(2 \times 4)$, five TiO2 layers (Fig. 1a), and a total of 80 TiO2 groups. The agents were placed on top of TiO2(110) creating an asymmetric slab which requires the dipole correction to account for the artificial field in the system due to the periodicity of the lattice. A vacuum region between 8 and 25 Å was tested for the adsorption of the agents on the TiO2(110) slab described previously. For vacuum sizes between 12 and
3. Results from DFT calculations

3.1. Adsorption of DMMP, Sarin and Soman on dry TiO$_2$(110)

DMMP, Sarin and Soman (Fig. 1(b), (c) and (d), respectively) can adopt different configurations after adsorption on the TiO$_2$(110) surface. The adsorption of the agents on TiO$_2$ has been reported in different studies for both molecular and dissociative conformations. In the former, experimental and theoretical works have described the interaction of the P=O group in DMMP and Sarin with the Ti$_{5fc}$ atoms on the TiO$_2$(110) surface as the preferred adsorption configuration of the molecular agents on this surface [7,41]. In the latter, conversely, different dissociative products can be expected, especially due to the variation in composition and the environmental factors that influence the dissociative process. Different initial adsorption configurations of the agents, DMMP, Sarin and Soman on the dry TiO$_2$(110), with molecular and dissociative configurations were explored in the initial part of this work and are shown in Supporting Information (S1–S6). After the energy minimization was finalized and the convergence criteria were achieved, the most stable configurations were determined.

3.1.1. Molecular adsorption of DMMP, Sarin and Soman on dry TiO$_2$(110)

Among the initial configuration explored in the molecular adsorption of the agents on TiO$_2$ are the formation of monodentate and bidentate configurations with the O atoms from the agents forming bonds with the TiO$_2$ surface. Also, different possible interactions of the F atom from Sarin and Soman with the TiO$_2$ surface were considered (shown in Supporting Information S1–S3). The adsorption energy ($E_{ads}$) was determined for each one of these agent-TiO$_2$ modeled systems for DMMP, Sarin and Soman. The $E_{ads}$ calculation for the each configuration of the agent-TiO$_2$ system can define its relative stability and the most preferred structure. The $E_{ads}$ for the molecular adsorption of DMMP, Sarin and Soman on TiO$_2$(110) can be defined as:

$$E_{ads} = E_{Agent-TiO_2(110)} - E_{TiO_2(110)} - E_{Agent}$$  

where $E_{Agent-TiO_2(110)}$ corresponds to the energy of the agent adsorbed on the TiO$_2$(110) surface, $E_{TiO_2(110)}$ is the energy of the clean TiO$_2$(110) surface and $E_{Agent}$ is the energy of the gas phase isolated agent (DMMP, Sarin or Soman). The $E_{ads}$ for the molecular adsorption of the agents on TiO$_2$ was calculated for the different adsorption configurations shown in Supporting Information (S1–S3). The three lowest $E_{ads}$ values for the molecular adsorption of DMMP, Sarin and Soman on TiO$_2$(110) and their final structures are shown in Fig. 2. The lowest $E_{ads}$ of the agent-Ti$_{5fc}$ were $-221.4$, $-178.0$ and $-183.7$ kJ/mol for DMMP, Sarin and Soman, respectively. The difference in the lowest $E_{ads}$ between the simulant DMMP and the CWAs (Sarin and Soman) was $\approx 30$ kJ/mol, while the same property showed a difference between Sarin and Soman of only $6$ kJ/mol. In the preferred molecular configuration, a covalent bond is formed between the P=O group of DMMP, Sarin and Soman and the Ti$_{5fc}$ atom of the TiO$_2$ surface as reported also in previous works for DMMP on TiO$_2$, as well as Sarin and VX on other metal oxide surfaces [6–8,11]. The monodenate Ti–O configuration was preferred over the bidentate ones (two Ti–O bonds or a Ti–F bond), also considered as initial conformations.

Previous theoretical works in the literature have also reported the $E_{ads}$ of the nerve agents Sarin and the simulant DMMP on metal oxides. For DMMP and Sarin on TiO$_2$, they reported $E_{ads}$ values of $-125.4$ kJ/mol for both molecules. However, these studies considered the adsorption of the agents on a TiO$_2$ cluster. It has been shown that the cluster models of TiO$_2$ over or under-estimate the $E_{ads}$ compared to the slab systems, especially for small cluster sizes [6,34]. Other important difference between the methods used in this work and previous reported works is the application of the DFT-D functional to calculate the $E_{ads}$. The DFT-D method accounts for van der Waals interactions in the system after adsorption of the agent on TiO$_2$. In our calculations, there was a difference of around $50$ kJ/mol for the systems including van der
and Ti5fc but instead, a weak interaction could take place between both nerve agents suggests that there is not an actual bond formed between F atoms, which could have an effect on the stability of the structure.

Another important interaction in the most stable structures of nerve agents Sarin and Soman is the position of the F atom, above a Ti5fc surface atoms (close to an "atop" position). The Ti...F distance in the nerve agents suggests that there is not an actual bond formed between F and Ti5fc, but instead, a weak interaction could take place between both atoms, which could have an effect on the stability of the structure.

3.1.2. Dissociative adsorption of DMMP, Sarin and Soman on dry TiO2(110)

One of the relevant factors in the decontamination process of CWA is the possible dissociation of the agents when adsorbed on metal oxide surfaces. During the adsorption process, different dissociation products can be formed, reducing in some cases the toxicity of the nerve agents. In this work, different possible dissociation products were considered (shown in Supporting Information S4–S6). Among the initial configurations, the dissociation of one or two methyl groups from DMMP was considered. For Sarin and Soman the formation of CH3PO2F with the dissociation of the remaining group, or the dissociation of the F atom from the nerve agent were some of the initial dissociative structures modeled. Among the different configurations, the three lowest $E_{\text{ads}}$ for the most stable dissociated DMMP, Sarin and Soman on TiO2(110) are shown in Fig. 4, along with the final geometric configurations for the different systems. The $E_{\text{ads}}$ defined in Eq. (1), can be extended to the dissociative adsorption of the agents on TiO2 with the $E_{\text{Agent-TiO2(110)}}$ term corresponding to the energy of the dissociative agents on TiO2(110). The lowest $E_{\text{ads}}$ for DMMP, Sarin and Soman on TiO2 in a dissociative configuration were $-281.3$, $-260.3$ and $-285.4$, respectively. Even though the $E_{\text{ads}}$ difference of the dissociative adsorption for the simulant compared to the nerve agents is only 20 kJ/mol, the structure for the final dissociated products has a significant difference.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geometric parameter</th>
<th>DMMP-TiO2 refer to Fig. 3a</th>
<th>Sarin-TiO2 refer to Fig. 3b</th>
<th>Soman-TiO2 refer to Fig. 3c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bond length (Å)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1–Ti1</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1–P</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2–P</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3–P</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1–P</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2–O2</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3–O3</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P–F</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti2–F</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond angle (°)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti1–O1=:P</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1–P=:F</td>
<td>108</td>
<td></td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H bonds</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of H bonds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(CH3)2–O(TiO2)</td>
<td>2.22–2.99</td>
<td>2.52–2.56</td>
<td>2.53–2.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Waals corrections compared to the one that did not account for these interactions.

Fig. 3 and Table 1 show the geometric parameters calculated for the agent-TiO2 systems for the molecular adsorption of DMMP, Sarin and Soman on TiO2 with the most stable configuration. In general, the bond lengths and bond angles for the different agents-TiO2 systems had similar values. The only parameter that showed a considerable distinction between the simulant and the nerve agents was the number of H bonds (secondary bonds formed between an H atom of the molecule and an O at the TiO2 surface). The DMMP-TiO2 system has 5 H bonds, while the systems with the nerve agents Sarin and Soman have 3, as observed in Table 1.

The comparison of the number of H bonds between the systems with various $E_{\text{ads}}$ suggests that the number of H bonds has an important influence over the stability of the agent-TiO2 systems in a molecular configuration. For the most stable DMMP, Sarin and Soman adsorbed on TiO2, the configuration with higher number of secondary bonds corresponds to the most stable one. In the most stable agent-TiO2 systems there are 5, 3 and 3 H bonds for DMMP, Sarin and Soman, respectively, while for the less stable configurations there are only between 1 and 2 H bonds.

Another important interaction in the most stable structures of nerve agents Sarin and Soman is the position of the F atom, above a Ti5fc surface atoms (close to an "atop" position). The Ti...F distance in the nerve agents suggests that there is not an actual bond formed between F and Ti5fc, but instead, a weak interaction could take place between both atoms, which could have an effect on the stability of the structure.

Fig. 4. Adsorption energy in kJ/mol and structure for the dissociative adsorption of the agents of DMMP (circle), Sarin (triangle) and Soman (star) on the TiO2(110) surface. The results shown are for the three lowest energy configurations.
This could suggest that after an initial molecular adsorption up to 100 kJ/mol between the molecular and dissociative agent, a monodentate configuration (CH₃)HO₂P group from Sarin and Soman binds with an O surface atom from TiO₂(110) in order to form a bidentate conformation. The monodentate configuration of the agents on TiO₂ were studied as shown in the Supporting Information (S4). The theoretical study of a dissociative adsorption of Sarin and Soman on a dry TiO₂(110) surface, which could lead to a reduction of the products toxicity.

Table 2
Values for the geometric parameters of dissociatively adsorbed agents DMMP, Sarin and Soman on TiO₂. Refer to Fig. 5 to find the atomic description.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geometric parameter</th>
<th>DMMP-TiO₂ refer to Fig. 5a</th>
<th>Sarin-TiO₂ refer to Fig. 5b</th>
<th>Soman-TiO₂ refer to Fig. 5c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bond length (Å)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₁–Ti</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂–Ti₂</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂–Ti₃</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂–Ti₄</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₁–P</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂–P</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₃–P</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₁–P</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂–O₃</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissociated molecule bond length (nm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₃–O₄</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₄–Ti₃</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₄–Ti₄</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F–Ti₂</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond angles (°)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti₁–O₁=P</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti₂–O₂=P</td>
<td>128</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti₃–O₂=P</td>
<td></td>
<td>124</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For DMMP compared to Sarin and Soman.

For DMMP, a (CH₃)₂O₃P main molecule in a bidentate configuration (two O atoms, each one binding with a Ti₆₈⁺ surface atom) and a CH₃ dissociated group is obtained as the dissociative structure. In the case of the nerve agents, the dissociative adsorption generates different products, with Sarin and Soman dissociated in a (CH₃)₂C₇HO₂P group (x = 3, y = 1 for Sarin and x = 5, y = 2 for Soman) adopting a bidentate configuration and the F atom adsorbed on a Ti₆₈⁺ surface atom, leaving a less-toxic phosphonate product, as observed in Fig. 4. While in DMMP, the molecule is dissociated into two molecules, in the nerve agents the F atom dissociates causing the rearrangement of the (CH₃)₂C₇HO₂P group with the formation of an additional bond with a O surface atom from TiO₂(110), as observed in Fig. 4. The O–Ti distance between the simulant and the nerve agents have a significant variation especially for the bonds involving the O surface atom from TiO₂(110) in the dissociative products of the nerve agents.

3.2. Effect of water adsorption on the agent-TiO₂ systems

3.2.1. Water adsorption on TiO₂(110)

In most of the previous experimental studies considering the adsorption of agents on metal oxides, the authors reported the presence of H₂O on the metal oxide surface [16,17,20,33,41]. In order to perform a qualitative comparison with previous experimental works, we considered the adsorption of H₂O on the TiO₂(110) surface for the molecular and dissociative adsorption of the agent. The theoretical study of a wet surface requires the consideration of different factors such as the H₂O coverage and the type of H₂O adsorption. According to previous theoretical results, the adsorption of H₂O on TiO₂(110) can be displayed in a molecular(hydrated) or a dissociative(hydroxylated) conformation [54]. The experimental results suggest that at low coverages H₂O does not dissociate on a defect-free TiO₂(110) [55]. The E_ads values of H₂O adsorbed on TiO₂(110) with hydrated and hydroxylated conformations predicted by theoretical methods are very similar (difference of around 3 kJ/mol) but the high accuracy of DFT in the calculation of E_ads allows us to distinguish between even small energy values and shows that hydrated TiO₂(110) surface is more stable than the
Using DFT, we calculated the $E_{\text{ads}}$ of H$_2$O on TiO$_2$(110) with a hydrated and hydroxylated conformation for a 0.5 monolayer coverage obtaining values of $-89.7$ and $-86.7$ kJ/mol, respectively. Previous theoretical works obtained values of $-73.3$ kJ/mol (hydrated) and $-67.5$ kJ/mol (hydroxylated) for the adsorption of H$_2$O on TiO$_2$(110), for the same molecular coverage considered in this study [56,57]. The experimental studies reported $E_{\text{ads}}$ between $-58.9$ and $-99.4$ kJ/mol, which indicates a good accuracy in our $E_{\text{ads}}$ calculations.

3.2.2. Molecular adsorption of DMMP, Sarin and Soman on wet TiO$_2$(110)

The presence of H$_2$O on the metal oxide surface is a relevant factor to consider during the adsorption of CWA and simulants. As described in previous sections, the $E_{\text{ads}}$ and stability of agent-TiO$_2$ can show a significant variation with the conformation and structure of the agents. Similarly, factors such as the environmental conditions have shown to modify the $E_{\text{ads}}$ in molecules—metal oxide systems, which could be particularly reflected by the presence of water on the surface [58]. In this section, we study the molecular adsorption of the agents on hydrated and hydroxylated TiO$_2$(110) and calculate the $E_{\text{ads}}$ for different possible configurations of the molecularly adsorbed agent on the wet TiO$_2$ surface (shown in Supporting Information S7–S12).

The $E_{\text{ads}}$ for the adsorption of the agents on the wet TiO$_2$ systems can be calculated with the expression:

$$E_{\text{ads}} = E_{\text{Agent-TiO}_2 (110) - H_2O} - E_{\text{TiO}_2 (110) - H_2O} - E_{\text{Agent}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $E_{\text{Agent-TiO}_2 (110) - H_2O}$ is the energy of the agent adsorbed on the wet TiO$_2$, $E_{\text{TiO}_2 (110) - H_2O}$ is the energy of the wet TiO$_2$(110), with H$_2$O either in a hydrated or hydroxylated conformation and $E_{\text{Agent}}$ is the energy of the gas phase isolated agent (DMMP, Sarin or Soman). Fig. 6(a) shows the $E_{\text{ads}}$ and final structures for the molecular adsorption of DMMP, Sarin, Soman on the hydrated and hydroxylated TiO$_2$ surface. The $E_{\text{ads}}$ values for the different systems are between $-242.2$ and $-200.0$ kJ/mol. The comparison in the $E_{\text{ads}}$ values for molecular adsorption of the agents on a hydrated and hydroxylated TiO$_2$ surface shows that DMMP, Sarin and Soman will show a higher stability for the hydroxylated TiO$_2$(110) surface. In general, the final structure for DMMP, Sarin and Soman on a wet TiO$_2$(110) surface is similar to the structures of the dry agent-TiO$_2$ systems with a bond formed between the P=O group of the agent and the Ti$_{5fc}$ atom of the TiO$_2$ surface. However, there is an additional interaction between the O of the agents and the H of the H$_2$O or OH groups adsorbed on TiO$_2$ that leads to the formation of H bonds. This variation in the final agent-TiO$_2$ structures is reflected in the $E_{\text{ads}}$ variation of the wet systems. In general, the structure of the agents for hydrated and hydroxylated systems are very similar, with the main difference being due to the interaction of the agent with the H$_2$O or OH groups or the TiO$_2$ surface.

The comparison in $E_{\text{ads}}$ between the dry and wet TiO$_2$ systems indicates that there is a variation in the $E_{\text{ads}}$ between the different agents when adsorbed on TiO$_2$(110). The DMMP-TiO$_2$ system had the highest (lowest) $E_{\text{ads}}$ among the dry (wet) configurations. Conversely, Sarin-TiO$_2$ showed the lowest (highest) $E_{\text{ads}}$ among the dry (wet) systems.

3.2.3. Dissociative adsorption of DMMP, Sarin and Soman on wet TiO$_2$(110)

Previously, we described the relevance of the dissociative adsorption of the agents in the decontamination process of CWA. The dissociative adsorption of the nerve agents Sarin and Soman and the simulant DMMP on metal oxide surfaces has been reported primarily under the presence of water in different experimental and theoretical conditions.

Fig. 6. Adsorption energy in kJ/mol and structure of the agents DMMP (circle), Sarin (triangle) and Soman (star) on a hydrated and hydroxylated TiO$_2$(110) surface for (a) molecular adsorption and (b) dissociative adsorption of the agent.
Scheme 1. Adsorption states of DMMP on dry TiO₂(110). (State I) Gas phase, (State II) Molecularly adsorbed, (State III) Dissociatively adsorbed.

Scheme 2. Adsorption states of Sarin on dry TiO₂(110). (State I) Gas phase, (State II) Molecularly adsorbed, (State III) Dissociatively adsorbed.

Scheme 3. Adsorption states of Soman on dry TiO₂(110). (State I) Gas phase, (State II) Molecularly adsorbed, (State III) Dissociatively adsorbed.


Scheme 5. Adsorption states of Sarin on wet TiO₂(110). (State I) Gas phase, (State II) Molecularly adsorbed, (State III) Dissociatively adsorbed.
Here, we explore the dissociative adsorption of the agents DMMP, Sarin and Soman on the hydrated and hydroxylated TiO$_2$(110) surface (with molecular adsorption and dissociative adsorption of H$_2$O on the TiO$_2$ surface, respectively). We considered different initial possible dissociative configurations of the agents on the wet TiO$_2$ surface (shown in Supporting Information S13–S18) and calculated their $E_{ads}$ values.

Fig. 6(b) shows the $E_{ads}$ and final structures of the dissociated agents on a hydrated and hydroxylated TiO$_2$(110)surface. The $E_{ads}$ for the dissociated agent adsorbed on a wet TiO$_2$ can be calculated using Eq. (2), with the $E_{agent-TiO_{ads}}$ expression corresponding to the dissociative adsorption of the agent on the wet TiO$_2$. The $E_{ads}$ values of the dissociative adsorption of the Agents on a wet TiO$_2$(110) surface are between $-323.3$ and $-268.1$ kJ/mol with a preferred configuration for the hydrated systems. The dissociative adsorption of DMMP on hydrated TiO$_2$ was identified with the dissociation of a methyl group and its adsorption on the surface with the remaining (CH$_3$)$_2$O$_2$P group forming a bidentate configuration with two Ti$_{ads}$ surface atoms, similar to the dissociation of the dry systems. The dissociative adsorption of the nerve agents Sarin and Soman on a hydrated TiO$_2$(the preferred dissociative adsorption) occurs with the dissociation of the F atom and its adsorption on a Ti$_{ads}$ atom, similarly to dry systems. However, in the hydroxylated TiO$_2$ surface, Sarin and Soman dissociate in a CH$_3$FO$_2$P and a (CH$_3$)$_2$C$_2$H molecule ($v = 2$, $w = 1$ for Sarin and $v = 4$, $w = 2$ for Soman) as observed in Fig. 6(b). These results imply that the lowest $E_{ads}$ values for the nerve agents on a hydrated TiO$_2$ surface, the dissociative adsorption of Sarin and Soman through the dissociation of the F atom and its adsorption on the hydrated TiO$_2$ surface is the final preferred adsorption mechanism for both agents.

The $E_{ads}$ comparison between the molecular and dissociative adsorption of DMMP, Sarin and Soman on a wet TiO$_2$ surface shows a lower $E_{ads}$ for the dissociative adsorption (between 39.2 and 113.2 kJ/mol) and then higher stability for this configuration, similar to the results observed for the dry systems. In addition, the dissociative adsorption of Sarin and Soman on TiO$_2$ is more stable in hydrated systems compared to the hydroxylated ones, in contrast to the molecular adsorption of the agents which has shown higher stability for the hydroxylated systems. Therefore, the initial molecular adsorption of the agents on wet TiO$_2$ and the subsequent dissociation of DMMP, Sarin and Soman on hydrated TiO$_2$ could be described as the preferred adsorption mechanisms of the agents on wet TiO$_2$ surfaces, given the comparative lower $E_{ads}$ of these systems. The proposed interaction of DMMP, Sarin and Soman with wet TiO$_2$(110) are represented in Schemes 4, 5 and 6, respectively. The most favorable interaction of the agents with the TiO$_2$(110) surface in the gas state, molecular adsorption and dissociative adsorption are represented in States I, II and III, respectively of each scheme.

The comparison between the different models considered in this work shows that the dissociative adsorption of the agents on TiO$_2$ is more stable than the molecular one for both wet and dry systems. In addition, the agent-TiO$_2$ systems with wet surfaces were more stable compared to the dry ones for the different configurations considered. In general, the systems that displayed the lowest $E_{ads}$ and then the higher stability among the different configuration were the agent adsorbed on hydrated TiO$_2$ systems. These results agree with previous experimental studies suggesting the water content to be an important factor in the decontamination process of CWA on TiO$_2$ materials [59].

4. Comparison with previous studies on the adsorption of agents on TiO$_2$.

Several previous experimental and theoretical studies considered the adsorption of DMMP on TiO$_2$ while a more limited number focused on the adsorption of the nerve agent Sarin on the same metal oxide [6,7,16,17,20,32–34,41]. There are no previous reports in the literature considering the adsorption of Soman on TiO$_2$(110), to the best of our knowledge. Experimental studies on the adsorption and thermal decomposition of DMMP on wet TiO$_2$ showed that both molecular and dissociative adsorption of the simulant on wet TiO$_2$ can occur [16,17,33]. The dissociative adsorption of DMMP on TiO$_2$ is described as the initial dissociation of methyl groups and their interaction with a surface oxygen atom to form Ti–OCH$_3$ and a methyl phosphomethane group with a bidentate configuration, as also proposed in this work and observed in Fig. 6(b) for wet systems. Other experimental works suggested a similar dissociative structure for DMMP on hydroxylated TiO$_2$ with a further elimination of methanol for temperatures higher than 486 K [14]. We considered similar dissociative structures with methanol elimination as observed in Supporting Information (S16), but our results showed a lower stability for this configuration. In this work, we did not consider the temperature factor in the calculation of the stable configurations for the agent-TiO$_2$ systems, which could be the reason for the differences in the final dissociative structure predicted for DMMP on hydroxylated TiO$_2$.

Theoretical studies reported the $E_{ads}$ for molecular adsorption of DMMP and Soman on wet and dry TiO$_2$ clusters [34]. The results showed higher stability for the adsorption of the agents on dry TiO$_2$ clusters compared to the wet ones at full monolayer coverage. However, in this particular H$_2$O coverage the dissociation and further interaction of the molecule with the surface could be limited due to the pervasive presence of H$_2$O in a hydrated and hydroxylated configurations. A similar study on the adsorption of DMMP on rutile TiO$_2$(110) compared the $E_{ads}$ between the slab and the cluster models [6]. The DMMP-TiO$_2$ $E_{ads}$ values for a slab system, a large cluster and a small cluster where $-184.3$, $-130.3$, $-103.2$ kJ/mol, respectively. This paper emphasized the significant difference in the $E_{ads}$ between slab and cluster systems and how the selection of the adequate size of the cluster is imperative in order to obtain reliable $E_{ads}$ values that can be comparable to the slab configurations. Other theoretical studies on the adsorption of DMMP on TiO$_2$ anatase clusters, reported a preferred dissociative adsorption on wet TiO$_2$ [32], with higher stability for the hydrated systems compared to the hydroxylated ones, as also observed in the present work. The theoretical $E_{ads}$ of DMMP on dry TiO$_2$(110) was also calculated for slab surfaces for the molecular and dissociative adsorption of DMMP [7]. The dissociative adsorption of the molecule was obtained as the least stable configuration.
preferred configuration, with the CH₃ dissociative product adsorbing on a surface oxygen atom, similar to the dissociative configuration obtained in this work and shown in Fig. 4. Nevertheless, the Eₐds values obtained in the present study and the ones reported by Bermudez [7] (−73.3 and −108.1 kJ/mol for molecular and dissociative adsorption of DMMP on TiO₂, respectively) are significantly different which may be due to the variation in the methods and theory level employed. Bermudez used the restricted Hartree–Fock level of theory for geometry optimization of the slab systems and the B3LYP functional for a single point calculation of the total energies. Also the van der Waals interactions were not included in the energy calculations, which could have generated differences in the final geometry and the final energy calculations of the systems in comparison to the present study.

Previous experimental studies also considered the photocatalytic decomposition of Sarin on TiO₂ [20,41]. The dissociated products of Sarin on a hydroxylated TiO₂ surface were similar to the ones obtained here, with the F atom dissociating from the nerve agents and adsorbing on the hydroxylated metal oxide surface, as observed in Fig. 6(b). A theoretical work in the reaction mechanism of Sarin Hydrolysis with Cs₈Nb₆O₁₉ showed that the presence of water can assist the elimination of isopropanol [60]. We explored similar dissociative products with alcohol elimination from Sarin and Soman on hydroxylated TiO₂. The dissociative products (CH₃)₂CHOH and (CH₃)₄C₂HOH from Sarin and Soman, respectively, with the CH₃PO₂F group adsorbed on the TiO₂(110) surface were considered as observed in Supporting Information (S17 and S18). Our results show that these dissociative products have higher Eₐds and then are less thermodynamically stable compared with the dissociation of F from Sarin and Soman.

Considering the previous theoretical and experimental works reported here on the adsorption of agents on wet and dry TiO₂, the dissociative adsorption of DMMP and Sarin on this metal oxide was identified as the preferred adsorption mechanism.

5. Conclusions

The adsorption energy and geometry of DMMP, Sarin and Soman on TiO₂(110) under dry and wet conditions were investigated using density functional theory. We found that the dissociative adsorption of the simulant and agents in the hydrated TiO₂(110) had the lowest adsorption energy and thus the higher stability among the different systems considered. In addition, the dissociative adsorption of the agents on TiO₂ was more stable than the molecular adsorption for both wet and dry systems. This result is in agreement with the experimental studies that show the adsorption of H₂O on TiO₂ being an important factor in the dissociative adsorption of the agents. In the terms of the final geometries, the final dissociative structure in the dry and hydrated nerve agent-TiO₂ systems was observed to be that with the F atom dissociating from Sarin and Soman and forming a bond with a Ti surface atom. Also, the difference in the dissociative structures for the agent-TiO₂ systems with H₂O in a hydrated and hydroxylated configurations suggests that not only the water content but also the configuration of the molecules affect the stability and structure of the agents adsorbed on TiO₂. The comparison in the dissociation process between the agents Sarin and Soman, and the simulant DMMMP on TiO₂ showed that for the agents, as well as the simulant, the dissociation under hydrated conditions is preferred, although the dissociative mechanism and products for DMMP on TiO₂(110) have a significant variation from those for the agents Sarin and Soman. A future study focused on the energy barrier calculations for the final geometries obtained here could provide additional understanding of the energy path for the dissociation of the agent-TiO₂ systems. Similar theoretical studies can be extended to other toxic agents to determine the preferred adsorption mechanisms and structures on different surfaces.
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Modeling Details

The adsorption of the agents DMMP, Sarin and Soman on TiO$_2$(110) rutile were modeled using density functional theory. The agent-TiO$_2$ systems were built with 1 molecule per 8 Ti atoms 5 fold coordinated (Ti$_{5fc}$), with a surface area of (2 x 4), five TiO$_2$ layers (Figure 1a), and a total of 80 TiO$_2$ groups. The agents were placed on top of TiO$_2$(110) creating an asymmetric slab which requires the dipole correction to account for the artificial field in the system due to the periodicity of the lattice. A minimum vacuum region of 12 Å was selected after testing its ability to avoid interactions of the molecule with adjacent periodic images. Different possible adsorption configurations of the molecule on the dry TiO$_2$(110) slab were included as shown below (S1 – S6).

Agent-TiO$_2$ systems under wet conditions were built to determine the effect of H$_2$O on the adsorption of agents on the TiO$_2$(110) surface. The adsorption of H$_2$O on TiO$_2$(110) was considered with both a hydrated and hydroxylated configuration with a coverage of 0.5 H$_2$O monolayer. In the hydrated surface, the H$_2$O molecules were adsorbed on the top TiO$_2$ layer forming a bond with the Ti$_{5fc}$ surface atoms. In the hydroxylated configuration, H$_2$O was dissociated into OH and H, the OH groups were adsorbed on the Ti surface atoms while the H atoms adsorbed on the adjacent O surface atoms. The information from previous experimental and theoretical studies was used to construct and model the TiO$_2$-H$_2$O interface. The adsorption of DMMP, Sarin and Soman on the wet TiO$_2$(110) surface was modeled using the same slab systems described for dry configurations. The initial agent-TiO$_2$ structures of the wet systems are shown below (S7 – S18).

The selection of the configuration was based on the possible arrangements of the agent on the TiO$_2$(110) surface including distance to the surface, bond lengths, bond angles, possible secondary bonds formed and orientation of the molecule respect to the surface. Additional initial configurations of the agents on the TiO$_2$(110) surface were also constructed in based on the geometry reported in previous works as stable systems.
(S1) Molecular adsorption of DMMP on dry TiO$_2$(110) (a) Initial configurations (b) Final most stable configuration
(S2) Molecular adsorption of Sarin on dry TiO$_2$(110) (a) Initial configurations (b) Final most stable configuration
(S3) Molecular adsorption of Soman on dry TiO₂(110) (a) Initial configurations (b) Final most stable configuration
(S4) Dissociative adsorption of DMMP on dry TiO$_2$(110) (a) Initial configurations (b) Final most stable configuration
(S5) Dissociative adsorption of Sarin on dry TiO$_2$(110) (a) Initial configurations (b) Final most stable configuration
(S6) Dissociative adsorption of Soman on dry TiO$_2$(110) (a) Initial configurations (b) Final most stable configuration
Molecular adsorption of DMMP on hydrated TiO$_2$(110) (a) Initial configuration (b) Final most stable configuration
(S8) Molecular adsorption of Sarin on hydrated TiO$_2$(110) (a) Initial configuration (b) Final most stable configuration
Molecular adsorption of Soman on hydrated TiO₂(110) (a) Initial configuration (b) Final most stable configuration
Molecular adsorption of DMMP on hydroxylated TiO$_2$(110) (a) Initial configuration (b) Final most stable configuration
(S11) Molecular adsorption of Sarin on hydroxylated TiO$_2$(110) (a) Initial configuration (b) Final most stable configuration
(S12) Molecular adsorption of Soman on hydroxylated TiO$_2$(110) (a) Initial configuration (b) Final most stable configuration
(S13) Dissociative adsorption of DMMP on hydrated TiO$_2$(110) (a) Initial configurations (b) Final most stable configuration
(S14) Dissociative adsorption of Sarin on hydrated TiO$_2$(110) (a) Initial configurations (b) Final most stable configuration
(S15) Dissociative adsorption of Soman on hydrated TiO$_2$(110) with H$_2$O in a molecular configuration (a) Initial configurations (b) Final most stable configuration
(S16) Dissociative adsorption of DMMP on hydroxylated TiO$_2$(110) (a) Initial configurations (b) Final most stable configuration
Dissociative adsorption of Sarin on hydroxylated TiO$_2$(110) (a) Initial configurations (b) Final most stable configuration
(S18) Dissociative adsorption of Soman on hydroxylated TiO$_2$(110) (a) Initial configurations (b) Final most stable configuration