Ccr4-Not and TFIIS Function Cooperatively To Rescue Arrested RNA Polymerase II
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Expression of the genome requires RNA polymerase II (RNAPII) to transcribe across many natural and unnatural barriers, and this transcription across barriers is facilitated by protein complexes called elongation factors (EFs). Genetic studies in Saccharomyces cerevisiae yeast suggest that multiple EFs collaborate to assist RNAPII in completing the transcription of genes, but the molecular mechanisms of how they cooperate to promote elongation are not well understood. The Ccr4-Not complex participates in multiple steps of mRNA metabolism and has recently been shown to be an EF. Here we describe how Ccr4-Not and TFIIS cooperate to stimulate elongation. We find that Ccr4-Not and TFIIS mutations show synthetically enhanced phenotypes, and biochemical analyses indicate that Ccr4-Not and TFIIS work synergistically to reactivate arrested RNAPII. Ccr4-Not increases the recruitment of TFIIS into elongation complexes and enhances the cleavage of the displaced transcript in backtracked RNAPII. This is mediated by an interaction between Ccr4-Not and the N terminus of TFIIS. In addition to revealing insights into how these two elongation factors cooperate to promote RNAPII elongation, our study extends the growing body of evidence suggesting that the N terminus of TFIIS acts as a docking/interacting site that allows it to synergize with other EFs to promote RNAPII transcription.

Transcription of genes by RNA polymerase II (RNAPII) is a well-orchestrated process that involves steps of initiation, elongation, and termination. Following promoter clearance, RNAPII enters the phase of productive elongation that is achieved by a Brownian ratchet mechanism, in which the RNAPII oscillates between a pretranslocated and a posttranslocated state. After nucleotide addition to the 3′ end of the RNA, the incoming nucleoside triphosphate (NTP) locks RNAPII in a posttranslocated form, readying it for the next cycle (1–4). However, productive elongation is not a product of sufficient addition of nucleotides by RNAPII alone. During transcription elongation, RNAPII encounters several blocks, including sequence-specific pause sites, nucleotide limitations, DNA lesions, negative elongation factors, and DNA-bound proteins, which cause RNAPII to pause, arrest, or terminate transcription (5, 6). A myriad of elongation factors helps rescue paused/arrested polymerases and stimulate transcription (7, 8). Each elongation factor acts via a different mechanism, and often, one works in combination with others.

One of the best-characterized elongation factors known to rescue backtracked RNAPII is TFIIS. TFIIS promotes transcription elongation by stimulating the nucleolytic activity of RNAPII and realigning the 3′ end of the transcript in the active site of arrested RNAPII (for reviews, see references 9 and 10). New evidence suggests that the cleavage-promoting activity of TFIIS is not the only way in which it stimulates elongation (11–13). Biochemical and biophysical studies have only recently begun to uncover the mechanisms by which TFIIS functions with TFIIF, ELL, DSIF/NELF, and the Paf1c complex to stimulate elongation (11, 12, 14–18). The presence of multiple factors working to promote elongation strongly suggests redundancy in the functions of these factors but, more importantly, that these factors may work cooperatively during transcription. The roles of transcription factors in stimulating elongation in vivo and interactions among them have traditionally been identified by genetic analyses of Saccharomyces cerevisiae mutants and studies showing defects in transcription. However, fewer detailed biochemical studies have tried to understand how these factors act directly on RNAPII and the mechanisms by which they stimulate elongation.

The Ccr4-Not complex has been well studied for its functions in mRNA metabolism, especially for its role in regulating mRNA decay and transcription initiation (19–21). Though the possibility that it has the ability to affect the elongation stage of transcription has been suggested by genetic studies (23), only recently have biochemical analysis confirmed that it plays a direct role in stimulating transcription elongation (22, 24). The Ccr4-Not complex binds to elongation complexes (ECs) by directly interacting with both the Rpb4/Rpb7 module of RNAPII and the emerging transcript (25). Once it is bound to arrested ECs, it reactivates backtracked RNAPII, which apparently does not involve transcript cleavage. Evidence for this mode of action is that Ccr4-Not cannot stimulate elongation if arrest is achieved by the incorporation of a chain-terminating nucleotide, O-methyl-GTP (O-me-GTP), onto the 3′ end of the transcript (24). Rescue of this type of arrest requires transcript cleavage. In this regard, it functions through a mechanism different from that of the well-characterized elonga-
tion factor TFII S (9). In vivo studies indicate that deleting DSTI, the gene encoding TFII S, enhances the phenotypes of a ccr4Δ mutant (22). This result suggests that the two genes genetically interact, but the cause of the enhanced phenotypes is not clear. Since Ccr4-Not and TFII S play multiple roles in gene expression, including preinitiation complex formation, the cause of the enhanced phenotypes is not necessarily rooted in altered elongation.

In our current study, we have characterized the mechanism by which Ccr4-Not and TFII S work cooperatively to regulate transcription elongation by RNAPII. We show that Ccr4-Not directly interacts with TFII S and recruits it into arrested ECs, resulting in the enhanced cleavage of the displaced transcript in backtracked RNAPII and enhanced transcription. Interestingly, the interaction with Ccr4-Not requires the N terminus of TFII S. We provide direct evidence of how these two elongation factors work cooperatively to regulate transcription and propose a function for a poorly understood region of TFII S.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Yeast strains and GLAM assay.** The *S. cerevisiae* strains used in this work are described in Table 1. Double mutants were isolated by mating and dissection of diploid strains. Cells were typically grown at 30°C in YP medium (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone) containing 2% dextrose (YPD). Deletion of genes by homologous recombination was carried out using knockout cassettes produced by PCR (26). Mutants were confirmed by using primers directed to the open reading frames of the genes. Spot testing on solid media was performed by growing cells to stationary phase of diploid strains. Cells were typically grown at 30°C in YP complete (SC) medium with or without 2.5, 5, and 10 μg/ml mycophenolic acid (MPA; Sigma-Aldrich) and grown at 30°C. The plates were scanned daily for up to 4 days. The gene-length accumulation of mRNA (GLAM) assay (27) was performed with strains containing the GAL1 promoter inserted upstream of the YLR454W open reading frame, as described in previous publications (28, 29). Cells were grown to late mid-log phase at 30°C in YP medium supplemented with 2% galactose and 2% raffinose. RNA was extracted and subjected to Northern blotting as described previously (30). Probes directed to the open reading frames of GAL1 and YLR454W were used to detect the accumulation of the short and long transcripts, respectively. The ratio of long/short transcripts was calculated for each strain and compared to the ratio for the wild-type strain.

**Purification of Ccr4-Not complex.** The Ccr4-Not complex was purified from 16-liter cultures of yeast containing a tandem affinity purification (TAP)-tagged version of CA04 and a deletion of DSTI, as described in previous publications (24, 25). The purified complex was dialyzed in dialysis buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.6, 150 mM KCl, 10% glycerol), followed by concentration using a microfiltration device. The concentration of the Ccr4-Not complex was estimated by comparing the intensity of the bands to that of bands for known amounts of bovine serum albumin (BSA) on a silver-stained SDS-polyacrylamide gel. RNAPII was purified through a TAP-tagged version of Rp4 and immunoaffinity chromatography on 8WG16 beads (31). The amount of RNAPII was estimated by the Bradford assay. Aliquots of protein were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C.

**Cloning, expression, and purification of TFII S.** The expression vectors for wild-type TFII S, TFII S D290A and E291A mutants, and TFII S mutants with deletions of residues 290 deletion (290Δ) and 291 (291Δ) were obtained from Jesper Svejstrup (32). The proteins were expressed in *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3)pLysS cells. Cells were grown in 1 liter Luria-Bertani (LB) medium to an OD600 of 0.7 and induced with 0.5 mM IPTG (isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside; Sigma Aldrich) at 30°C for 3 h. The cell pellets were resuspended in cell lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 10 μM ZnCl2, 10% glycerol, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride [PMSF], 2 mM benzamidine hydrochloride) and lysed by freezing and thawing on ice, followed by sonication. The resulting crude cell lysate was centrifuged at 16,000 rpm and 4°C for 30 min, and the clarified lysate was incubated with 1 ml of Talon beads (Clontech) for 1 h. The resin was loaded into a column and washed with 50 ml of wash buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 20 mM

---

**Table 1. S. cerevisiae strains used in this study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Source or reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JR1390</td>
<td>MATa his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0 NOT4-TAP::HIS3</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR1408</td>
<td>MATa NOT4-TAP::HIS3 dst1Δ1::URA3 his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR1523</td>
<td>MATa CAF40-TAP::HIS3 dst1Δ1::URA3 his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR1533</td>
<td>MATa::his3Δ1::his3Δ0 leu2Δ0 leu2Δ0 LYS2/lys2Δ0 met15Δ0/MET15 ura3Δ0/ura3Δ0</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR1534</td>
<td>NOT4::NOT4::ATM::GAL1::YLR454::KanMX::YLR454::dst1::URA3::DST1</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR1535</td>
<td>NOT4::NOT4::ATM::GAL1::YLR454::KanMX::YLR454::dst1::URA3::DST1</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR1536</td>
<td>NOT4::NOT4::ATM::GAL1::YLR454::KanMX::YLR454::dst1::URA3::DST1</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR1537</td>
<td>NOT4::NOT4::ATM::GAL1::YLR454::KanMX::YLR454::dst1::URA3::DST1</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR1538</td>
<td>MATa his3::his3Δ0 leu2Δ0 lys2Δ0 ura3Δ0 GAL1::YLR454::KanMX</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR1540</td>
<td>MATa his3::his3Δ0 leu2Δ0 lys2Δ0 ura3Δ0 GAL1::YLR454::KanMX dst1::URA3</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR1542</td>
<td>MATa his3::his3Δ0 leu2Δ0 lys2Δ0 ura3Δ0 GAL1::YLR454::KanMX dhb1::KanMX</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR1544</td>
<td>MATa his3::his3Δ0 leu2Δ0 lys2Δ0 ura3Δ0 GAL1::YLR454::KanMX dhb1::KanMX</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR1550</td>
<td>MATa his3::his3Δ0 leu2Δ0 lys2Δ0 ura3Δ0 GAL1::YLR454::KanMX nor52::KanMX</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR1554</td>
<td>MATa his3::his3Δ0 leu2Δ0 lys2Δ0 ura3Δ0 GAL1::YLR454::KanMX nor52::KanMX</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR1559</td>
<td>MATa his3::his3Δ0 leu2Δ0 lys2Δ0 ura3Δ0 GAL1::YLR454::KanMX cr4::KanMX</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR1562</td>
<td>MATa his3::his3Δ0 leu2Δ0 lys2Δ0 ura3Δ0 GAL1::YLR454::KanMX cr4::KanMX</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR1567</td>
<td>MATa his3::his3Δ0 leu2Δ0 lys2Δ0 ura3Δ0 GAL1::YLR454::KanMX caf60::KanMX</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR1570</td>
<td>MATa his3::his3Δ0 leu2Δ0 lys2Δ0 ura3Δ0 GAL1::YLR454::KanMX caf60::KanMX</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR1581</td>
<td>MATa his3::his3Δ0 leu2Δ0 lys2Δ0 ura3Δ0 GAL1::YLR454::KanMX caf60::KanMX</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR1584</td>
<td>MATa his3::his3Δ0 leu2Δ0 lys2Δ0 ura3Δ0 GAL1::YLR454::KanMX nor4::KanMX</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
imidazole, 10 μM ZnCl₂, 10% glycerol, 1 mM PMSF, 2 mM benzamidine hydrochloride). The proteins were eluted in elution buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 150 mM imidazole, 10 μM ZnCl₂, 10% glycerol, 1 mM PMSF, 2 mM benzamidine hydrochloride). The peak protein-containing fractions were pooled, dialyzed in dialysis buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.9, 100 mM KCl, 10% glycerol, 5 mM beta-mercaptoethanol, 10 μM ZnCl₂), and stored at −80°C. TFIIIS truncation mutants were prepared by PCR, amplifying regions corresponding to amino acids (aa) 1 to 130 (TFIIIS mutant 1 [TFIIISmut1]), 131 to 309 (TFIIISmut2), and 1 to 265 (TFIIISmut3), and cloned into the Ndel and BamH restriction sites in pET15b. Primer sequences are available upon request. Plasmids were confirmed by DNA sequencing.

Formation of elongation complexes and transcription runoff assays. DNA templates were prepared by PCR using a plasmid containing a 70-nucleotide (nt)-long G-less cassette followed by a G tract (GGGG) and used as primer sequences GATCAAAAAAAATTA was ligated to the template, and the DNA = -phosphorylated oligonucleotide containing the sequence was annealed with the DNA template. The DNA templates were prepared by PCR using a plasmid containing a pET15b. Primer sequences are available upon request. Plasmids were confirmed by DNA sequencing.

RESULTS
Ccr4-Not and TFIIIS genetically interact and function to promote elongation. Loss of CCR4 results in enhanced phenotypes in combination with elongation factor mutants, including a dst1Δ mutant (TFIIIS) (20, 34). Ccr4-Not is a modular complex composed of a Ccr4 group and a Not group (19, 21, 34). Genes in each of these groups display different genetic and physical interactions, and it cannot be taken for granted that deletion of other subunits, especially those in the Not group, would show synthetically enhanced phenotypes with a dst1Δ mutation. Therefore, we isolated mutants containing a deletion of DST1 and representative members of the Ccr4-Not complex. DST1 interactions between Ccr4-Not genes and DST1 were assessed by growing single and double mutants at 30°C on synthetic complete (SC) medium or SC medium supplemented with 5 μg/ml MPA. Combining the dst1Δ allele with the ccr4Δ, not4Δ, not5Δ, or caf1Δ mutation resulted in reduced growth on SC medium and rich medium (Fig. 1A and data not shown). However, the double mutants displayed significantly enhanced sensitivity to MPA (Fig. 1A). Even the double caf4Δ dst1Δ mutant, which showed the less severe phenotypes, displayed an enhanced sensitivity to the drug when large amounts were used (data not shown). Thus, deletion of either the Ccr4 group or the Not group of genes causes synthetically enhanced phenotypes in combination with a loss of TFIIIS activity.

We next examined the effects of deleting Ccr4-Not subunits and TFIIIS on transcription elongation. We used an assay that compares transcription across a long GAL1p-regulated gene (GAL1p–YL454W) and the shorter endogenous GAL1 locus (Fig. 1B). Since the YLR454W gene is longer than GAL1, it is more sensitive to transcription elongation defects arising from mutations in transcription factors. Changes in transcription initiation would be controlled for since both genes are driven by the same
promoter. This assay, referred to as the gene-length accumulation of mRNA (GLAM) assay, has been used extensively to detect elongation defects in yeast mutants (27–29). Deletion of DST1 did not result in a reduced GLAM ratio (Fig. 1C). It was previously noted that although TFIIS is a bona fide elongation factor, deleting DST1 does not cause a reduced GLAM ratio or changes in RNAPII transcribing across genes (27, 36). Analysis of transcript abundance does not cause a reduced GLAM ratio or changes in RNAPII transcription but genetic interactions can be indirect. Thus, we turned to biochemistry to determine how these elongation factors cooperate to regulate elongation.

Ccr4-Not enhances the ability of TFIIS to reactivate arrested RNAPII. Genetic analyses described here and elsewhere suggest that Ccr4-Not and TFIIS work together to promote elongation, but genetic interactions can be indirect. Thus, we turned to biochemistry to determine how these elongation factors cooperate to regulate elongation. Both Ccr4-Not and TFIIS can reanimate arrested RNAPII. However, Ccr4-Not cannot rescue RNAPII arrested by the incorporation of O-me-GTP into the 3’ end of the transcript, indicating that it functions differently than TFIIS (24). During our initial studies on Ccr4-Not, we found that a complex purified from a strain containing DST1 stimulated the rescue of RNAPII blocked by the incorporation of O-me-GTP into the transcript but that a complex purified from a dst1Δ strain could not (data not shown). We speculated that a trace amount of TFIIS was copurifying with Ccr4-Not and that two elongation factors cooperated to promote transcription. To characterize the interplay between these two factors more precisely, we examined the ability of Ccr4-Not and TFIIS to stimulate elongation when used in combination. We employed an in vitro run-on transcription assay where transcription was initiated on a tailed template using the UpG dinucleotide. A 70-nt transcript is produced from a G-less cassette, and arrest occurs at the first G in a G tract (33). While it is documented that some end-initiated templates produce RNA-DNA hybrids (R loops) and the transcript displaces the non-template strand (NTS) behind RNAPII (37, 38), the template used here is not prone to such artifacts. Transcripts produced from this template are resistant to digestion with RNase H, which cleaves RNA in RNA-DNA duplexes (33), but are sensitive to single-stranded RNase (J. B. Crickard and J. C. Reese, unpublished data). Further, permanganate footprinting of the NTS of ECs formed on this template indicates that the nontemplate strand reanneals with the template strand behind polymerase (Crickard and Reese, unpublished).

RNAPII ECs were formed in the presence of O-me-GTP, which

FIG 1 Ccr4-Not and DST1 mutations display synthetically enhanced phenotypes. (A) Single and double Ccr4-Not and dst1Δ mutants were spotted onto SC medium or SC medium containing 5 μg/ml MPA. The plates were incubated at 30°C for either 2 or 4 days, as indicated. (B) Schematic of the constructs used in the GLAM assay. (C) GLAM assay. Strains were grown to log phase in YP medium supplemented with galactose and raffinose. Northern blotting using probes directed to GAL1 (short) and YLR454W (long) was performed, and the ratio of the transcripts was calculated for each strain and compared to that for the wild type (WT), which was set equal to 1.0. Data are presented as the averages and standard deviations from 4 assays.
prevents elongation and causes backtracking of RNAPII when the chain-terminating nucleotide is incorporated into the 3′ end of the transcript. As we reported previously (24), adding Ccr4-Not did not stimulate elongation from complexes arrested by O-methy-GTP incorporation (Fig. 2A, lanes 6 to 9). We established nonactivating conditions where the amount of TFIIS did not cause an appreciable increase in the amount of runoff product (Fig. 2A, lanes 10 to 13). Interestingly, when Ccr4-Not was added to reaction mixtures containing the nonactivating amounts of TFIIS, a robust stimulation of elongation was observed (Fig. 2A, lanes 14 to 17). Results of quantification of the experiment are provided in Fig. 2B. Because Ccr4-Not cannot stimulate elongation when arrest is achieved by the incorporation of O-methy-GTP into the transcript, the results suggest that Ccr4-Not is enhancing the activity of TFIIS. However, when assays were performed in the absence of O-methy-GTP and arrest was achieved by omitting GTP, enhanced activation of transcription was also observed, suggesting that the synergy between Ccr4-Not and TFIIS is not a unique feature of chain terminator-induced arrest (Fig. 2C and D).

The results of the experiments described above suggest that Ccr4-Not allows TFIIS to stimulate elongation when the concentrations of TFIIS are limiting. This was examined more closely by carrying out transcription assays while titrating in increasing amounts of TFIIS in the presence or absence of a fixed amount of Ccr4-Not. While TFIIS only poorly stimulated elongation of arrested RNAPII complexes at the amounts tested, a greatly enhanced stimulation was observed in the presence of Ccr4-Not (Fig. 3A and B). This suggests that Ccr4-Not and TFIIS work synergistically to stimulate transcription elongation and that Ccr4-Not enhances the effective concentration of TFIIS.

Ccr4-Not enhances the ability of TFIIS to reactivate arrested RNAPII by stimulating transcript cleavage. TFIIS reactivates backtracked ECs by stimulating nucleolytic cleavage of the displaced transcript, thus reregistering the 3′ end with the active site.
of polymerase (9). However, multiple studies indicate that TFIIS can also rescue RNAPII elongation in a cleavage-independent manner. This may involve TFIIS loosening the interaction of backtracked RNA with the funnel of polymerase, realigning nucleic acids in the active center, or affecting the location of the trigger loop (13, 39, 40). Therefore, we next determined if Ccr4-Not enhances the TFIIS-dependent cleavage of the transcript by performing transcript cleavage assays on ECs immobilized on streptavidin beads (Fig. 4A). Following formation of arrested complexes in the presence of O-me-GTP, ECs were recovered by magnetic collection and washed. TFIIS and/or the Ccr4-Not complex was added, and the lengths of the transcripts associated with the EC were analyzed on gels. Addition of saturating amounts of TFIIS and/or the Ccr4-Not complex. GTP and UTP were added to allow runoff for 120 s. (B) The percentage of runoff products was calculated from the gel in panel A and plotted as a function of the amount of TFIIS.

FIG 3 Ccr4-Not reduces the concentration of TFIIS required to rescue arrested RNAPII. (A) ECs were formed as described in the legend to Fig. 2A, and then TFIIS was titrated in (0, 25, 100, and 250 fmol) with (lanes 6 to 10) or without (lanes 1 to 5) 0.5 μg Ccr4-Not complex, GTP and UTP were added to allow runoff for 120 s. (B) The percentage of runoff products was calculated from the gel in panel A and plotted as a function of the amount of TFIIS.

FIG 4 Ccr4-Not enhances TFIIS-stimulated transcript cleavage. (A) Schematic of the cleavage assay. ECs were assembled onto a biotinylated template immobilized onto streptavidin magnetic beads. Nucleotides were washed out, and then TFIIS or Ccr4-Not or both were added for 5 min. TFIIS was added in excess of RNAPII (2:1) or in substoichiometric amounts (0.3:1), as indicated in panel B. Beads were recovered by magnetic separation and washed, and the transcripts associated with the beads were purified and analyzed on urea-polyacrylamide gels. (B) Phosphorimage of the gel. The location of the 70-nt transcript is indicated.

to 9 bases and is consistent with the length of RNA observed in the funnel of backtracked polymerase (3, 40–42). Shorter products were also observed and most likely resulted from the progressive shortening of the transcript caused by reiterative rounds of backtracking of RNAPII and cleavage by TFIIS (42–44). When a substoichiometric amount of TFIIS was added (0:3:1), no cleavage was detected (Fig. 4B, lane 4). However, when the same amount of TFIIS was added in the presence of Ccr4-Not, a significant amount of transcript cleavage was observed (Fig. 4B; compare lanes 4 and 5). Thus, Ccr4-Not can promote the TFIIS-dependent cleavage of the transcript.

Next we determined if the transcript cleavage-promoting activity of TFIIS is required for it to function with Ccr4-Not. The loop of domain III inserts into the active site of RNAPII to stimulate transcript cleavage (39, 45). This activity of TFIIS is dependent on two conserved acidic residues in the loop of domain III (D290 and E291), and it has been shown that substituting these residues for alanines or deleting them blocks the TFIIS-dependent cleavage of the transcript and the rescue of backtracked RNAPII (32, 45) (data not shown). Transcription reactions were repeated in the presence of Ccr4-Not and substoichiometric amounts of wild-type TFIIS, TFIIS with point mutations (D290A and E291A), or TFIIS with deletion of the conserved acidic residues (290Δ and 291Δ). As expected, the mutant TFIIS proteins did not synergize with Ccr4-Not to rescue arrested RNAPII complexes (Fig. 5A and B). Therefore, the cleavage-promoting activity of TFIIS is required...
for the synergy between Ccr4-Not and TFIIIS in rescuing arrested RNAPII.

Ccr4-Not interacts with TFIIIS and enhances its recruitment into elongation complexes. Transcription assays indicate that the concentration of TFIIIS required to promote elongation is lowered in the presence of Ccr4-Not (Fig. 3A). One explanation for this result is that Ccr4-Not binds TFIIIS and recruits it into the EC. A physical interaction would be consistent with the copurification of small amounts of TFIIIS with the Ccr4-Not complex (see above), but this could also be explained if TFIIIS interacted through another protein present in extracts. To test for a direct interaction between the two, we performed pulldown assays using recombinant TFIIIS immobilized onto Ni-NTA beads via an N-terminal hexahistidine (6His) tag and purified Ccr4-Not. Ccr4-Not was then detected in the bound and supernatant fractions by immunoblotting. The results in Fig. 6A show that immobilized TFIIIS, but not control Ni-NTA beads, retained Ccr4-Not. Thus, TFIIIS binds directly to Ccr4-Not.

We next tested if Ccr4-Not increases the recruitment of TFIIIS into arrested RNAPII elongation complexes. Arrested ECs were formed on biotinylated DNA templates, washed, and then incubated with increasing amounts of TFIIIS with or without Ccr4-Not. The complexes were then washed, and the amounts of TFIIIS and Rpb1 were detected by immunoblotting. Since adding wild-type TFIIIS leads to the progressive shortening of the transcript due to the reiterative cycles of backtracking and transcript cleavage (Fig. 4B and data not shown), a cleavage-defective TFIIIS mutant (D290A, E291A; TFIIIS Ac) was used. TFIIIS did not bind to the template in the absence of RNAPII; however, TFIIIS was retained when ECs were formed in the presence of RNAPII (Fig. 6B; compare lane 5 to lane 7). Importantly, adding Ccr4-Not led to a significant increase in the binding of TFIIIS to ECs by approximately 2- to 3-fold compared to that when Ccr4-Not was omitted (Fig. 6B to D; compare lanes 7 and 11 and lanes 8 and 12 in Fig. 6B). Thus, Ccr4-Not increases the recruitment of TFIIIS into ECs.

Many studies have mapped the domain structure of TFIIIS (9, 46). The protein is divided into three domains and a linker region. Domain II (aa 131 to 240), the linker (aa 240 to 260), and domain III (aa 260 to 309) bind to RNAPII (46). High-resolution cocrystal structures of TFIIIS with RNAPII have been solved and have revealed that domain III inserts into the secondary channel or funnel, placing two acidic residues into an active site to promote the cleavage of the displaced RNA transcript (1, 39, 40). The linker and domain II bind the core of RNAPII, with the latter contacting the jaw of Rpb1 (39). Domain I, the first 130 amino acids, was not visible in the structure, and biochemical and genetic studies indicate that it is dispensable for promoting transcript cleavage and rescuing backtracked RNAPII (9, 46). While dispensable for the biochemical activity of TFIIIS, domain I interacts with several transcription factors (12, 47–49). In particular, a recent study showed that the N terminus is required for TFIIIS recruitment into the preinitiation complex (50). In order to determine which domain of TFIIIS interacts with Ccr4-Not, we constructed and purified truncated versions of TFIIIS: mutant 1 (domain I), mutant 2 (domain II, linker, and domain III), and mutant 3 (domains I and II) and linker) (Fig. 7A and B). Using a pulldown assay, we found that Ccr4-Not interacted with both mutants containing domain I (mutants 1 and 3) but failed to bind mutant 2, which lacked the N-terminal domain (Fig. 7C). This result indicates that domain I of TFIIIS interacts with the Ccr4-Not complex.

To confirm that increased recruitment of TFIIIS was dependent on its association with the Ccr4-Not complex, we repeated the TFIIIS-EC binding assay using full-length TFIIIS and mutant 2 (aa 131 to 309). Mutant 2 lacks domain I and does not bind Ccr4-Not but contains domains II and III, which bind RNAPII. While Ccr4-Not stimulated the recruitment of full-length TFIIIS to RNAPII in ECs, deletion of domain I of TFIIIS greatly reduced the Ccr4-Not-stimulated recruitment of TFIIIS into ECs (Fig. 7D). These results suggest that Ccr4-Not directly binds the N terminus of TFIIIS and this interaction is required for Ccr4-Not to recruit TFIIIS into ECs.

To test if enhanced TFIIIS recruitment was responsible for the cooperative action of Ccr4-Not and TFIIIS on arrested RNAPII, we

FIG 5 Synergy requires the cleavage-promoting activity of TFIIIS. (A) A runoff assay was performed as described in the legend to Fig. 2A. Fifty femtomoles of wild-type TFIIIS (lanes 2 to 5 and 6 to 9), TFIIIS with point mutations (D290A and E291A; TFIIIS Ac), or TFIIIS with deletion of residues 290 and 291 (290Δ and 291Δ; TFIIIS del) and 0.5 μg of Ccr4-Not complex were added as indicated. Runoff was initiated by adding GTP and UTP. Reactions were stopped at 0 s (lanes 2, 6, 10, and 14), 30 s (lanes 3, 7, 11, and 15), 60 s (lanes 4, 8, 12, and 16), and 120 s (lanes 5, 9, 13, and 17) after the addition of the nucleotides, and transcripts were analyzed on a 10% urea denaturing gel. (B) The percentage of runoff products was calculated and plotted over time.
carried out run-on transcription assays using mutant 2. As shown in Fig. 2A, the addition of Ccr4-Not strongly enhanced the stimulation of elongation by substoichiometric amounts of wild-type TFIIS approximately 3-fold compared to that achieved with the addition of TFIIS alone, depending on the amount of TFIIS used (Fig. 7E). TFIIS mutant 2 (aa 131 to 309), which can interact with RNAPII, stimulated elongation to levels similar to those for full-length TFIIS when Ccr4-Not was absent from the reactions (Fig. 7E). However, Ccr4-Not was less effective at stimulating elongation of mutant 2 TFIIS than wild-type TFIIS (Fig. 7E). Though Ccr4-Not failed to increase the recruitment of mutant 2 into ECs in a binding assay (Fig. 7D), there was a low level of stimulation by Ccr4-Not. A likely explanation is that Ccr4-Not may bind weakly to mutant 2 in solution under transcription conditions but this interaction cannot withstand the wash conditions used in the binding assays. Nonetheless, the data are consistent with the conclusion that Ccr4-Not recruits TFIIS into ECs to enhance the rescue of arrested RNAPII and that domain I of TFIIS is important for this function.

### DISCUSSION

RNA polymerase II (RNAPII) encounters many types of barriers as it transcribes across the genome, resulting in pausing, arrest, or backtracking (5, 6). Each type of stoppage of elongation is accompanied by different structural changes in RNAPII and the integrity of the RNA-DNA duplex in the active site (51). For example, backtracking leads to a displacement of the 3′ end of the transcript from the active site and its insertion into the funnel or secondary channel of RNAPII, while pausing does not. Resumption of elongation of backtracked polymerase requires the restoration of the 3′ end of the transcript within the active site, which can occur by cleavage of the displaced transcript or by forward tracking of RNA polymerase along the template (1, 40, 51). Reactivation of RNAPII under these conditions is enhanced by multiple elongation factors, which can restore elongation through complementary and synergistic mechanisms (7, 8).

In the current study, we show that Ccr4-Not and TFIIS cooperate to rescue arrested RNAPII and stimulate elongation. This mechanism works, at least in part, by Ccr4-Not-dependent re-
The synergy between these two elongation factors is dependent on the interaction between Ccr4-Not and the N terminus, or domain I, of TFIIIS. Domain I has recently been shown to be important for the interaction between Ccr4-Not and the N terminus, or domain I, of TFIIIS. This interaction is required to recruit it with TFIIF (12). While it was not tested in the study, the authors’ interpretation of their results was that TFIIF stabilized the association of TFIIIS with RNAPII, which promoted transcript cleavage. Together with independent experiments showing that TFIIF cross-links to TFIIIS in preinitiation complexes, it seems likely that TFIIIS is recruited to RNAPII by an interaction between its N terminus and a subunit of TFIIF (47). In light of these and our own results, it appears that the N-terminal domain of TFIIIS plays an important role in the ability of TFIIIS to synergize with other elongation factors. This would be consistent with biochemical and structural studies suggesting that the N terminus is free to interact with other factors when TFIIIS is bound to RNAPII in ECs (39, 40, 49). We speculate that the N terminus of TFIIIS is a conserved recruitment platform required for it to function with multiple elongation factors, including Ccr4-Not.

We cannot rule out the possibility that Ccr4-Not makes other contributions in promoting the TFIIIS-dependent cleavage of the transcript and the rescue of RNAPII. Ccr4-Not interacts with the N terminus of TFIIIS, and this interaction is required to recruit it into the EC and stimulate elongation (this work). However, Ccr4-Not could, additionally, facilitate the insertion of domain III into the active site, thus promoting cleavage in this fashion. Cocrysal structures of RNAPII and TFIIIS suggest the potential for a steric clash between TFIIIS and the displaced RNA in the funnel of RNAPII (3, 39, 40, 51). Furthermore, in the presence of TFIIIS, longer RNAs in backtracked RNAPII are cleaved more slowly than shorter RNAs (51). Extensively backtracked RNAPII containing a long displaced transcript in the funnel might inhibit the insertion of the acidic loop of TFIIIS into the active site. Ccr4-Not could stimulate transcript cleavage and TFIIIS binding to the EC by promoting the forward translocation of RNAPII down the template, reducing the length of RNA in the funnel. This would accelerate the cleavage of the transcript and/or reduce the potential for a steric clash in the funnel.

Is the only function of Ccr4-Not in elongation to recruit TFIIIS and promote transcript cleavage? The results described here and published elsewhere suggest not. Ccr4-Not stimulates elongation in vitro without TFIIIS (24). Furthermore, double mutants of Ccr4-Not subunits and DST1 display enhanced sensitivity to elongation inhibitors and slower growth and are more impaired than the single dst1Δ mutant in completing the transcription of long open reading frames (Fig. 1). If the only function of Ccr4-Not in elongation were to recruit TFIIIS, we would expect them to show an epistatic genetic interaction and the single mutants would display phenotypes similar to those of the double mutants. Biochem-
ical experiments indicate that both Ccr4-Not and TFIIS rescue backtracked RNAPII, yet their mechanisms are different (24, 34). TFIIS promotes the cleavage of the displaced transcript by RNAPII, reregistering the 3′ end in the active site (9, 13). Ccr4-Not, on the other hand, does so without stimulating transcript cleavage (24) (Fig. 4), possibly by promoting the diffusion of RNAPII downstream on the template. Since these two mechanisms are distinct, it is tempting to speculate that they are used to resolve different forms of arrested RNAPII throughout the genome. Ccr4-Not, like TFIIF, may prevent pausing or resolve RNAPII that has backtracked a few nucleotides (11, 12). However, when extensive backtracking takes place, such as when RNAPII encounters a very formidable barrier, this condition can be resolved rapidly only by cleaving the transcript. Here, Ccr4-Not can then promote the resumption of elongation by RNAPII after TFIIS-induced cleavage. It will be interesting to test such a model in future studies, possibly using single-molecule techniques.

Genome-wide studies have shown that TFIIS is localized across the open reading frame of genes, a distribution similar to that of RNAPII (52). It is tempting to speculate that TFIIS cooperates with different elongation factors during the stages of transcription. For example, TFIIF acts primarily at promoter clearance at the beginning of genes and then leaves RNAPII as it makes its way down the open reading frame (53–56). The synergy observed between TFIIF and TFIIS may be important early in the transcription cycle. Ccr4-Not cross-links across the open reading frame of genes (24, 57) and may synergize with TFIIS to resolve arrested RNAPII later in the transcription cycle or under a specialized condition. Ccr4-Not has been implicated as a regulator of stress pathways and could promote elongation during stress-induced transcriptional arrest.
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