STEPHEN PUNCOMBE

'CULTAURAL RESISTANCE ONE

READER' INTRODUCTION

When I hear the word culture I reach for my revolver.

attributed to Joseph Goebbels

NEW YORK CITY, OCTOBER 4, 1998

It's a damp afternoon in early October. The clouds are heavy and low and periodically a light mist rolls down. For the past twenty minutes groups of young people, three here, five there, have been walking up to "The Cube," a large steel sculpture on a traffic island marking the entrance to Manhattan's East Village. Carrying portable radios and dressed in the young hipster uniform of oversized shirt and super-wide pants, they look like they're either coming home from or launching out on an all-night rave. Some look more anxious than others, and the most anxious of the lot scurry around talking too loudly into cell phones or more quietly in little huddles. Radios are tuned to the frequency of a pirate radio station and techno music flows out from fifty boomboxes. Thwump, thwump, thwump. Heads start to nod and feet shuffle. The crowd is visibly excited. Something is going to happen.

Meanwhile, a block south, an old bread truck is parked by the curb, invisibly emanating the pirate signal. Jammed inside its rusting body is a portable radio transmitter, a sound engineer, a couple of DJs, and enough pot smoke to levitate the vehicle. A block west a small crew of people, studiously feigning nonchalance, waits next to a bundle of three, thirty-foot-long steel poles, laid horizontally along the ground and linked at one end. Further down and around the corner stands another small group surrounding what looks like a garden wagon covered in a tarpaulin.

At a little after 3 pm, as the crowd had grown to more than a hundred, someone gives a signal. Led by a man holding aloft a large orange traffic sign with outlines of a man and woman dancing, the crowd moves tentatively off the curb of the traffic island and on to the street. "Move, move," the anxious ones yell and the crowd breaks into a run down Astor Place. It's one short block and a left turn onto Broadway - the major thoroughfare running the length of Manhattan. In the middle of the street the metal pipes are being pushed into the air to form a tripod. Once the tripod is up and stable a young man scrambles up and seats himself on top. The garden cart is wheeled out, its tarp ripped off, and - after many frustrating tries a small generator fires up, powering a compact receiver and amplifier. Heavy beats pump from the sound system, echoed by the boomboxes now turned to full volume: THWUMP, THWUMP, THWUMP, Thwumpada Thwumpada Thwumpada. Curious crowds come off the sidewalk, people start to dance, and soon 300 people have turned Broadway on a Sunday afternoon into a street party.

The New York Police Department shows up, at first slowly and then in force. Dressed in riot gear they stand by bewildered, confused by a protest that doesn't look like a protest, mystified by the young man perched precariously twenty-five feet above the pavement, and unsure how to confront a street full of ravers, some with painted faces, a few decked out in Marie Antoinette garb, and one fellow dancing particularly energetically in a bright blue bunny suit.

Propaganda has been handed out to the crowd, proclaiming this as an action of the newly formed New York City chapter of Reclaim the Streets, thrown to protest the Mayor's draconian "Quality of Life" policing campaign and the increased privatization of public space. But such assertions were redundant. The protest itself spoke more eloquently about reclaiming the streets for free and public expression than any photocopied sheet of indictments and demands.1

I think it was there, in the middle of that happy, frenetic crowd, holding one of the legs of the tripod steady, that I fully realized the political potential of culture.

I had been a political activist my entire adult life. I began in college, pressuring the State University of New York to pull their money out of businesses in South Africa. From there I constructed houses in Nicaragua, shut down the City University of New York over tuition increases, protested the Gulf War, got arrested with ACT UP, walked picket lines to support immigrant restaurant and greengrocery workers, formed a community activist organization in the Lower East Side, and would soon

assemble direct action affinity groups for world trade demonstrations. For tifteen years I built organizations, planned actions, strategized campaigns, and attended far too many meetings.

I was committed to the struggle for radical change, but also more than a bit disappointed in it: too many defeats, too much defeatism. The "Left" I was part of often seemed stuck in its ways and those ways were not working. But that afternoon with Reclaim the Streets I glimpsed something that filled me with hope. Instead of the exhausted march, chant, and civil disobedience protest model that we (and the police, media, and public) were used to, we had created our own liberatory culture and - at least for a little while - had demonstrated it to the world. In place of the sour Lefty cry of "No! We're against it," we yelled out triumphantly: "Yes! This is what we're for." I went to the first planning meeting of this action as a loyal skeptic, by the end of the afternoon I was a committed believer in the power of cultural resistance.²

It wasn't a hard sell. It was culture, punk rock culture, that led me to politics in the first place. I grew up with a supportive family, I went to a good school, I lived in a nice suburb, but I knew something was wrong. Black kids I'd never met stared me down in rage. White kids in work boots were tracked out of my college-bound high-school classes. Boys who were not sufficiently macho were called faggots and girls existed only to fuck. And to top it off, I was bored. For explanation I turned to what culture I had at the time: television. Informed and entertained, I was reassured that these problems are too complex for easy answers, smart men were working on them, it's all being taken care of, it's normal, it might not even exist, you're one of the lucky ones, shut up. I thought I must be crazy.

Then, sometime in my mid-teens, I heard the Sex Pistols.

Right! NOW! ha ha ha ha ha I am an anti-Christ I am an anarchist don't know what I want, but I know how to get it I wanna destroy the passer by 'cos I, I wanna be . . . anarchy.

Damned if I could figure out what Johnny Rotten was singing about, but I did know that he was angry, and I was angry, and I was not alone. I remember that feeling. That wonderful feeling. A joyous homecoming to a world I hadn't even known existed.

About the same time I was listening to the Sex Pistols I discovered the

Ramones. Since they were from the US, I could better understand what they were saying (even through lead singer Joey's affected Cockney accent). But what I got from the Ramones wasn't from their funhouse lyrics - it C-D-. Two bar chords, three positions: "Hey, ho, let's go!" It was simple, it was stupid, anyone could play it . . . and so could I. Within months of listening to the Ramones some friends and I learned to "play" our instruments and we formed a band. I crossed the line from consumer to creator.

And so it was punk rock that taught me my first, and probably most important, political lessons. I learned the importance of community. Alone, I owned my problems: I was alienated, I was bored, I was too sensitive to injustice. But as a punk I found others who also had these problems, and since we all seemed to share them, we reasoned that they must not just be ours, but society's problems. My personal problems became a social problem. Us punks then supported each other, helping each other face a society we didn't like and working together to create a micro-world that functioned according to different principles. In Lefty parlance, I learned the power of "solidarity." But before I could do anything, I first had to believe I could do it. Initially, I didn't. Like most people growing up in liberal democracies and consumer economies, I was used to politics, products, and entertainment being created and carried out by others for me, my own action limited to spending a dollar or casting a vote. Punk taught me to DIY: Do-it-yourself. The idea that I could create my own culture - do-itmyself - was for me revolutionary, as it carried within it the promise that I could also create my own politics and my own world.

Punk provided me with political ideas, then ingrained them through experience. The first time I heard the term anarchist used as anything other than an insult was in the Sex Pistols' "Anarchy in the UK." The lyrics of that song, and later ones from more overtly ideological bands like The Clash, Dead Kennedys, and Stiff Little Fingers, lent me new words to interpret and talk about the world. And critically this culture spoke not in the pious slogans of sectarians or the priests' Latin of academics but in a rough, emotional language that was my own. I didn't read about "counterhegemonic cultures," I was surrounded by one: fun, messy, mine. As I spent time immersed within punk culture I internalized a way of looking at and acting in the world that became as "natural" as any set of habits or values I had held before. Smashing the state topped getting a prom date on my thingsto-do list for Senior year. And what I learned, I learned by doing. Punk didn't work unless it was performed, and by writing songs, dressing up and playing out I learned to perform my passions. That is, I learned how to

transform ideas into action. When I found my way to political activism a few years later, it was an easy step because I was already halfway there.

But only halfway. For just as many aspects of punk pulled me toward political resistance, there were equal forces pushing me away. Punk was a great tool for articulating the problems of my world, and providing a supportive culture where I could develop that critique, but punk in itself did nothing to affect the root causes of the things - racism, sexism, and class inequality - I was so angry about. Punk had no strategic plan; it had no plan at all. In some ways punk rock was merely a release, an escape valve for my political dissatisfaction: "I wanna be anarchy!" OK, I've said that, now I feel better. The culture of resistance that my friends and I had built became a safe place to hide. Fortified by our righteous sense of superiority, stocked with a steady supply of punk rock band, club, and scene trivia to keep us busy, boundaries between "us" and "them" clearly demarcated by dyed hair and leather jackets, we closed off the world. Eventually, however, punk did escape the ghetto walls we had constructed. Following the success of the band Nirvana and the discovery of "grunge" in the early 1990s, the signs and signifiers of punk became a way to market commercial products to a potentially lucrative "Generation X." When I heard Iggy Pop's proto-punk anthem "Search and Destroy" used to sell Nike sneakers I felt sick, but I also learned another important lesson: the politics of culture is not predetermined. Culture is pliable; it's how it is used that matters.

The very word "culture" is elastic. You've probably already noticed that I've been stretching its meaning. Here I'm referring to culture as a thing, there as a set of norms, behaviors and ways to make sense of the world, and, in still other places, I'm describing culture as a process. This is because the word "culture," as Raymond Williams will later elaborate, means all these things.

The term "cultural resistance" is no firmer. In the following pages I use it to describe culture that is used, consciously or unconsciously, effectively or not, to resist and/or change the dominant political, economic and/or social structure. But cultural resistance, too, can mean many things and take on many forms, and before we go much farther it may help to clarify some of its parameters, developing schematically some of the ideas sketched out more casually above and introducing new ones.

Let's begin by considering how cultural resistance works to foster or retard radical political activity. First off, cultural resistance can provide a sort of "free space" for developing ideas and practices. Freed from the limits and constraints of the dominant culture, you can experiment with new ways of seeing and being and develop tools and resources for resistance. And as culture is usually something shared, it becomes a focal point around which to build a community.

Equipped with new ideas, skills, confidence, and comrades, the step into the unknown terrain of political resistance may seem less frightening. And because cultural resistance often speaks in a more familiar and less demanding voice than political dissent it makes this move even easier. In this way cultural resistance works as a sort of stepping stone into political activity.

Cultural resistance can also be thought of as political resistance. Some theorists argue that politics is essentially a cultural discourse, a shared set of symbols and meanings, that we all abide by. If this is true then the rewriting of that discourse - which is essentially what cultural resistance does - is a political act in itself.

Taking a more pessimistic view, cultural resistance can be seen as an escape from politics and a way to release discontent that might otherwise be expressed through political activity. From this vantage point, cultural resistance is the creation of a sort of safe sanctuary, a "haven in a heartless world."3 Within this private utopia an ideal society is conjured up, problems are magically resolved, but outside nothing changes at all.

And finally, continuing the pessimistic slide, you can argue that cultural resistance does not and cannot exist. The dominant system is one of such complete ideological and material hegemony than any cultural expression, even if it appears rebellious, is, or will soon be repackaged and transformed into, a component of the status quo. From this perspective cultural resistance as a political practice is at best a waste of time and at worst a delusional detour from real political resistance.

Next let's look at how culture conveys its politics. A message can travel via the content of culture. Returning to the Sex Pistols' "Anarchy in the UK" for example, the band counsels resistance through explicit lyrics. Reading or hearing these words provides you with a political vocabulary, analysis, and even an action plan (although in the case of the Sex Pistols'"I wanna destroy the passer by," a pretty dubious one).

Politics can also be transmitted through the form culture takes. It is one thing to read lyrics on a page, quite another to hear them sung with emotion or laid over a danceable beat. Similarly, a different message is conveyed by the same song recorded on a DIY label versus a CD manufactured and distributed by a multi-national corporation. And that song changes yet again depending on whether you are listening to it performed or mixed live at an underground party, or sung in a stadium where you've paid \$50 to watch the performer from afar on a wall-sized video screen. To crib from Marshall McLuhan: "the medium is the message."4

How culture is received and made sense of - its interpretation - determines its politics as well. Even though Malcolm McLaren started the Sex Pistols as an art prank cum rock 'n' roll swindle, it didn't stop a kid like me across the Atlantic from hearing a call to arms. In the same way, Sister Sledge's disco hit "We Are Family" took on new meaning when appropriated as an anthem of gay and lesbian pride and solidarity in the 1980s.5 Content and medium may carry a message, but the meaning and potential impact of that message lie dormant until interpreted by an audience.

And finally, the very activity of producing culture has political meaning. In a society built around the principle that we should consume what others have produced for us, throwing an illegal warehouse rave or creating an underground music label - that is creating your own culture - takes on a rebellious resonance. The first act of politics is simply to act.

Now we can consider the spectrum of political engagement, or what I call scales of resistance. Political self-consciousness is the first one. On one side of the scale is culture that may serve the function of resistance, but was not created with that in mind, nor with the idea that its participants understand it as such. The other pole is occupied by culture consciously created for political resistance and used for that purpose. Somewhere in the middle is culture appropriated for ends for which it was not intended. This can cut both ways: culture that was not meant to be rebellious can be turned and used for those political ends and, conversely, culture that was selfconsciously fashioned with rebellion in mind can be made to serve very non-rebellious purposes.

The next scale measures the social unit engaged in cultural resistance. To the left is the individual, creating and perhaps even living out a culture that may - theoretically - challenge the dominant system to its very core. But that person does this in their own head, within their own little world, sharing it with no one. In the middle lies the subculture, a group that has been cut off, or more likely has cut itself off, from the dominant society in order to create a shared, inclusive set of cultural values and practices. To the right is society. If an entire society is engaged in cultural resistance it means one of two things: that the dominant culture and the power it props up are bound to fall away at any moment, or that cultural resistance has been so thoroughly incorporated into a society of spectacle that its practice is one of political futility.

Which brings us to the final scale: the results of cultural resistance. The spectrum here ranges from survival to revolution. Survival is the point at which cultural resistance is merely a way to put up with the daily grind and injustices of life while holding on to a semblance of dignity. Rebellion is

8 CULTURAL RESISTANCE READER

where cultural resistance contributes to political activity against the powers-that-be. Results of this resistance may range from suffering repression to forcing meaningful reform, yet all of this occurs within the framework of the dominant power. And revolution, well, revolution is the complete overthrow of the ruling system and a time when the culture of resistance becomes just culture.

The following may help clarify things:

Cultural resistance and political action

- cultural resistance creates a "free space":
 - ideologically: space to create new language, meanings, and visions of the future
 - materially: place to build community, networks, and organizational models
- cultural resistance is a stepping stone, providing a language, practice, and community to ease the way into political activity
- cultural resistance is political activity: writing or rewriting political discourse and thus political practice
- culture resistance is a "haven in a heartless world," an escape from the world of politics and problems
- cultural resistance does not exist. All culture is, or will immediately become, an expression of the dominant power

Means of cultural resistance

- content: the political message resides within the content of the culture
- form: the political message is expressed through the medium of transmission
- *interpretation:* the political message is determined by how the culture is received and interpreted
- *activity:* the action of producing culture, regardless of content or form or reception, is the political message

Scales of cultural resistance

- unconsciously political appropriation self-consciously political
 individual subculture society
- survival rebellion revolution